30 No. 6 J. Bankr. L. & Prac. NL Art. 1

Norton Journal of Bankruptcy Law and Practice December 2021

Volume 30, Issue 6

Norton Journal of Bankruptcy Law and Practice By Marci A. Hamilton and Bridget Brainard*

Rethinking Chapter 11 For Mass Child Sexual Abuse Claims: Shifting The Focus From Debtor Institutions To The Victims

Introduction

In enacting the United States Bankruptcy Code, Congress intended to assist honest debtors who had incurred overwhelming business debts. The Code contains provisions designed to "give debtors 'a new opportunity in life and a clear field for future effort, unhampered by the pressure and discouragement of preexisting debt." Its goal is to enable organizations to remain operational through debt restructuring and repayment plans guided by a neutral arbiter. That notion has changed as Catholic dioceses, USA Gymnastics, and the Boy Scouts of America have turned to Chapter 11 to limit their exposure to victims suing for the negligent and reckless policies that put kids at risk of child sexual abuse. The advantages to those institutions have included: avoiding in-depth discovery regarding their bad acts; forcing their victims to come forward (regardless of their legal rights to choose the time of suit or whether they are psychologically prepared to do so); and a stay against victims' claims. As it currently stands, Chapter 11 allows these organizations to avoid dissolution and shift attention away from the harm they inflicted on the child victims and to the institution's own needs. The focus is on the "debtor" even though it permitted extensive child sexual abuse; victims become mere creditors, like roofers.

Part I summarizes the history of how mass tort claims came to be administered under Chapter 11 of the federal Bankruptcy Code. Part II discusses how Chapter 11 became an avenue for institutions facing a myriad of tort claims to escape liability. Part III examines the failures of the Chapter 11 process when sexual abuse tort claims are involved. Finally, Part IV recommends legislative and other reforms including amending the Bankruptcy Code to turn the focus away from "bad actor" institutions that the assistance of the courts to restructure and continue their operations, and towards the victims of these child sexual abuse cases who are further negatively affected by the current bankruptcy system.

I. A Brief History of Mass Tort Claims in Bankruptcy

Tort claims were not considered under the original Bankruptcy Act enacted in 1898. The Act only focused on contractual obligations and providing relief to "traders," individuals, and small businesses from overwhelming contractual debts. Unproven tort claims came under purview of bankruptcy law with the Chandler Act of 1938, which "gave the court the authority to consider tort claims in reorganizations, regardless of their provability." Congress expanded bankruptcy purview to include tort claims "to facilitate rehabilitation of embarrassed corporations by a scaling or rearrangement of their obligations and shareholders' interests, thus avoiding a winding up, a sale of assets, and a distribution of the proceeds."

The Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1978 overhauled bankruptcy laws, and continued to include pending tort claims under Chapter 11. ¹⁰ The 1978 Code also removed the requirement that organizations must be insolvent to file a petition under Chapter 11. ¹¹ A debtor's having assets in value greater than its liabilities, or the ability to repay current debts, is not a cause for dismissal of

a voluntary case. ¹² This means that organizations are allowed to file for Chapter 11 reorganization to address their potential future liability.

Chapter 11 is designed to provide a debtor reprieve from debilitating debt, enabling organizations to remain operational and restructure. It is a way to help a financially distressed business return to a viable state. The goal is "to restructure a business's finances so that it may continue to operate, provide its employees with jobs, pay its creditors, and produce a return for its stockholders." ¹³ The intent of reorganization is to put together a plan that determines "the amounts creditors will be paid, the form of payment, the amount of interest to be retained by shareholders, and the methods in which the business will remain operational." ¹⁴ Until the Hare Krishna filing in 2002, ¹⁵ it was not the go-to legal track for institutions facing multiple sexual abuse and assault lawsuits.

Chapter 11 evolved into an exit strategy for organizations facing mass tort claims, including those institutions with pending child sexual abuse lawsuits. The system has since become a cruel pathway for traumatized victims to find justice.

A. The Chapter 11 Process

The reorganization process under Chapter 11 for large institutions is governed by 11 U.S.C.A. sections 1101 to 1129. A debtor files a petition which constitutes an automatic order for relief. 16 The filing of the petition institutes an automatic stay of most legal actions, both pending or previously initiated against the debtor. 17 For tort-related claims, "cases pending against the debtor are postponed, new actions cannot be taken, and judgments cannot be recovered." 18 The automatic stay immediately improves the financial state of the debtor because it postpones litigation obligations, freeing all liquid assets to support the continuation of regular business. It also suspends discovery in actions brought by tort claimants, by which they might obtain information about an organization and its alleged wrongdoing. 19

A committee of unsecured creditors is then formed. ²⁰ The committee is comprised of individuals who represent the unsecured creditors' interests in the bankruptcy process. ²¹ The court may order the appointment of additional committees. ²² Committees provide a structure for considering the debtor's estate and help develop a reorganization plan. ²³ Most child sexual abuse bankruptcies have had one such committee, but the Boy Scout proceedings have two different victim committees.

To organize the proceedings, creditors are labeled as secured or unsecured. ²⁴ Secured creditors typically have a lien on the debtor's property to ensure their claim will be repaid and are entitled to receive payment on their claims up to the value of their collateral. ²⁵ Some unsecured creditors have claims articulated in the Bankruptcy Code as receiving priority over other unsecured claims. ²⁶ Child sexual abuse claims are classified as non-priority, general, unsecured claims. Thus, *victims of child sexual abuse will only have their claims satisfied after all other secured and prioritized unsecured claims have been paid as summarized above.*

Claims and interests that are "substantially similar" to each other are put into the same class for purposes of the Chapter 11 plan of reorganization. ²⁷ All claims within a class must be treated equally under that plan. ²⁸ Tort claimants may be, and often are, place in a separate class in a reorganization plan.

The majority of funds representing the value of the estate can be distributed to secured creditors and priority unsecured creditors before the court even considers child sexual abuse claims. Thus, victims of child sexual abuse may receive far less payment through bankruptcy proceedings than they otherwise would in regular civil court proceedings.

II. How Bankruptcy Became an Avenue for Organizations Facing Mass Tort Claims to Avoid Liability

As previously stated, Chapter 11 was not originally designed to relieve a debtor facing massive tort liability. ²⁹ Rather, its serves to "mitigate the effects of financial failure and allows for reorganization for businesses that permit debt and equity interests to be restructured." ³⁰ Chapter 11 enables debtors to reorganize their financial affairs while continuing to operate.

For a debtor facing massive tort claims, a reorganization "imposes mass tort solutions" over large groups of claims. ³¹ This means that claims are considered not individually, but as a part of a broader group. Chapter 11 has been characterized as a collective debtor proceeding that ends the race to the courthouse, meaning that each individual creditor has far less control over the outcome of its claim in bankruptcy. ³² This "mass solution" approach, although efficient for businesses, can have disparate negative outcomes for individual tort claimants, whose needs are often individualized, which is particularly true for child sexual abuse victims. The failures of this approach are highlighted in the bankruptcies that grew out of asbestos-related claims, and those of the opioid crisis.

A. Toxic Tort Bankruptcies

In 1982, the Manville Corporation, the largest producer of asbestos in the United States, was the first organization to file for reorganization under Chapter 11 while facing over 12,500 tort claims for causing asbestos exposure. ³³ Manville had a \$1.1 billion net worth and total assets exceeding \$2.2 billion when it filed, but it asserted that the Chapter 11 filing was largely due to the impact of potential future tort claimants. ³⁴ The affidavit estimated that, over the next twenty years, the "cost of the future suits could range anywhere between \$2 billion and many times that amount," which could "potentially force the sale, liquidation or other disposition of Manville's assets and the dismemberment of its business." ³⁵

The victims of the asbestos exposure objected to the bankruptcy filing, claiming that Manville filed for reorganization in bad faith to "escape liability." The Bankruptcy Court concluded that Manville's liquidation "would be economically inefficient in not only leaving many asbestos claimants uncompensated, but also in eliminating needed jobs and the productivity emanating from an ongoing concern." As such, the court determined that Manville required a Chapter 11 reorganization to "avoid liquidation at all costs." A representative was appointed to oversee any asbestos-related claims arising after the bankruptcy as well. Over the next few years, multiple companies would follow Manville's example and use Chapter 11 to reorganize in the face of "massive personal injury liability in the future." 39

The companies targeted with mass, toxic tort litigation did, indeed, escape liability for many of these claims. The Bankruptcy Code states that "confirmation of a [reorganization] plan ... discharges the debtor from any debt that arose before the date of such confirmation." ⁴⁰ This means that companies may not be liable for toxic tort claims that occurred before a bankruptcy, *even if* the claimant's injuries manifested years later. ⁴¹

B. Opioid Epidemic Bankruptcies

Between 1999 and 2017, the opioid epidemic claimed over 400,000 U.S. lives. ⁴² In 2017, the Attorney General for the State of Oklahoma sued Purdue Pharma ⁴³ L.P. for its role in the opioid crisis. The State of Oklahoma claimed that Purdue used "deceptive and fraudulent marketing schemes" that "misrepresented the risk of opioid addiction." ⁴⁴ Shortly thereafter, Purdue was named in the federal opioid multidistrict litigation ("MDL"). ⁴⁵ Facing over 2,600 civil actions filed by over sixty cities and counties across the country, Purdue was spending approximately \$2 million per week in litigation costs. ⁴⁶

As a result of these expenses, Purdue filed for bankruptcy relief in 2019 as part of a "tentative deal struck with thousands of local governments, twenty-four states, U.S. territories, hospitals, and other parties." ⁴⁷ The deal stipulated that Purdue's business operations would continue, but its assets would be placed under a public-beneficiary trust "for the benefit of claimants and the U.S. public." ⁴⁸ Additionally, Purdue's owners, the Sackler family, would step down from management and would contribute \$4.5 billion of their personal funds into the trust. ⁴⁹ Although some attorneys general, like Pennsylvania Attorney General Josh Shapiro, helped lead negotiations toward a settlement agreement, ⁵⁰ this agreement initially was opposed by the majority of state Attorneys General, as well as some local governments, who preferred to seek recovery through their own civil court cases. ⁵¹ In response to this opposition, Purdue "filed a motion asking the bankruptcy court to use its vast powers under the Bankruptcy Code to stay all pending litigation." ⁵² Purdue argued that "[p]rotection from uncontrolled litigation is the singular feature of bankruptcy that makes it an effective tool for the successful resolution of mass tort matters." ⁵³ The bankruptcy court granted the stay, concluding that the pending litigation would "severely disrupt" the "constructive" ongoing settlement negotiations. ⁵⁴

Chapter 11 proceedings steered the outcome to one that in many ways shortchanged the victims. Those negotiations concluded in September 2021 with the bankruptcy court's approval of a settlement plan that will "end thousands of lawsuits brought by state and local governments, tribes, hospitals, and individuals" ⁵⁵ While Purdue Pharma was dissolved and the Sackler family was ordered to pay \$4.5 billion towards addiction treatment and prevention programs, the bankruptcy court settlement "means that a full accounting of Purdue's role in the epidemic will never unfold in open court." ⁵⁶ Additionally, the settlement amount will not adequately compensate individual plaintiffs harmed by Purdue's production and manufacturing of OxyContin. ⁵⁷ The 130,485 "individuals and families of those who suffered from addiction or died from an overdose" will only receive "amounts ranging from \$3,500 to \$48,000." ⁵⁸ The "guardians of about 6,550 children with a history of neonatal abstinence syndrome" will receive even less on average; an estimated \$7,000. ⁵⁹ Because the bankruptcy court approved this settlement, *all* parties are bound by its terms. In fact, "it doesn't matter if you were a settlement party or a non-settling party, it doesn't matter if you knew nothing about it, its binding on your client ... That's the power of bankruptcy. It impacts everything." ⁶⁰

Several other companies embroiled in the MDL have similarly filed for bankruptcy, showing a growing trend to resolve mass opioid-related claims in bankruptcy court. Insys Therapeutics, an opioid manufacturer, filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection in June 2019. ⁶¹ Insys's bankruptcy court-approved \$1 billion reorganization plan will afford most victims "pennies on the dollar." ⁶² Mallinckrodt Pharmaceuticals, another opioid manufacturer, filed for bankruptcy in October 2020 and seeks a reorganization plan "that would set up a \$1.6 billion trust to resolve opioid-related claims." ⁶³ Mallinckrodt's bankruptcy proceedings are ongoing as of the publication of this article, but appear to be headed toward a similarly inequitable conclusion.

C. The Advent of Organizations Using Chapter 11 to Force Victims to Come Forward and Accept Pennies on the Dollar

The predicaments of the Manville Corporation and Purdue Pharma that led to their subsequent actions in filing for bankruptcy mirror the actions of other institutions when faced with a myriad of child sex abuse claims. Large organizations, such as Catholic dioceses, the Boy Scouts of America, and USA Gymnastics have been faced with numerous civil suits based on a course of past conduct and attempts to avoid responsibility, as well as a number of huge tort judgments, which led them to seek refuge in the bankruptcy system. Child sexual abuse victims are also different from other creditors as they enter the system traumatized and are frequently re-traumatized by the way Chapter 11 transforms them from a victim to a creditor with no full access to justice. ⁶⁴

In July 2004, the Archdiocese of Portland, Oregon became the first Roman Catholic diocese in the United States to file for bankruptcy amidst pending lawsuits of victims alleging sexual abuse by members of the clergy. ⁶⁵ It announced its intention to file on the eve of two civil trials in suits alleging that it failed to remove a priest accused of having abused more than 50 boys from the 1950s to 1980s. ⁶⁶ The plaintiff in one case, James Devereaux, was seeking \$130 million in damages and said he was "determined to have a public hearing of his case against the church." ⁶⁷ Devereaux, who was 51 years-old at the time of the civil suit, said he was an altar boy from ages 11–13 for Reverend Maurice Grammond. ⁶⁸ Grammond, who died in 2002, was accused of molesting dozens of boys in the rectory, in his car, and on camping trips. ⁶⁹ According to David Slader, Devereaux's attorney, there were two witnesses to the priest's abuse as well as documentation proving that the archdiocese purposefully sent the priest to a remote parish where the parishioners were not told about his abuse of children. ⁷⁰ The bankruptcy petition immediately stayed the pending cases.

According to the diocese, there were more than 60 additional claims pending at the time of filing for bankruptcy with more than \$21 million in settlements having been paid the prior year by the diocese and insurers. ⁷¹ Despite an estimated half-billion dollars in assets, Archbishop John G. Vlazny urged that the estimate included parishes, schools, charities, and trusts which the diocese has no authority over "under canon law" (an argument the court ultimately rejected), ⁷² and that "the pot of gold is pretty much empty right now." ⁷³ He insisted that filing for bankruptcy was "not an effort to avoid responsibility" but to "assure that other claimants can be offered fair compensation." ⁷⁴ After two and a half years pending in bankruptcy court, the case ended with a settlement plan of \$75 million for the victim claimants. ⁷⁵

The Dioceses of Tucson, Arizona, and Spokane, Washington soon followed Portland in filing for bankruptcy under Chapter 11. ⁷⁶ According to BishopAccountability.org, 29 Catholic dioceses and religious orders in the United States have filed for bankruptcy since 2004. ⁷⁷ On the day that the Archdiocese of Portland filed, Deveraux, whose attorney indicated that he wanted his day in court over any financial settlement, said, "The archdiocese filed for bankruptcy today, but they have been morally bankrupt my entire life." ⁷⁸

USA Gymnastics (USAG) filed for bankruptcy in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Indiana in December 2018 following a wave of lawsuits filed by gymnasts who claimed that the organization failed to adequately protect them from sexual abuse by Dr. Larry Nassar. Over the course of three decades, Nassar sexually assaulted hundreds of gymnasts in his capacity as a team physician. He was ultimately convicted of numerous crimes and sentenced to between 140 and 360 years in federal and state prisons. Nassar's victims were grateful for his criminal convictions, but advocate that liability also extends to USOPC, USAG, and the Karolyi Camps and gyms. They have filed lawsuits to make all responsible for the systematic failures that traumatized them. As of the publishing of this article, a proposed settlement agreement of \$425 million, with the approval of abuse victims, was submitted on August 31, 2021 and is pending court approval. The USAG bankruptcy has shone a spotlight on how cruel even the Chapter 11 bar date can be, with Olympian Terin Humphrey shut out after filing only a few months late, as discussed in Part III.C.1, below.

The Boy Scouts of America filed for reorganization under Chapter 11 on February 18, 2020, after facing thousands of allegations of child sexual abuse perpetrated by scoutmasters and others by more than 88,000 claimants. ⁸⁴ In its most recent tax filing, the organization reported annual revenue of \$285 million and assets of \$1.4 billion, mostly coming from merchandise sales, membership fees, and profits from investments and facilities. ⁸⁵ The number of claims exceeds those of the Catholic dioceses in the United States. ⁸⁶ As of the publishing of this article, the bankruptcy case remains pending with a proposed reorganization plan still in the works, as two tort claimants' committees and other constituencies struggle to agree. ⁸⁷ The plan includes a matrix to display the range of how much financial compensation a victim could receive that's based on the type of sexual abuse and where it happened, and denoting whether the state's statute of limitations had passed or not. ⁸⁸

Chapter 11 is currently an ill-fitting solution for victims of child sexual abuse who have claims against institutions. If institutions like the Catholic Church, USA Gymnastics, and the Boy Scouts of America continue filing for bankruptcy when faced with mass tort claims from victims of child sex abuse, reforms are desperately needed within the Bankruptcy Code to hold those responsible accountable, provide the victims with a fair outcome, and prevent future abuse from happening within these youth-serving organizations.

III. The Failures of the Current Bankruptcy System in Child Sexual Abuse Cases

The Bankruptcy Code is riddled with procedural features that make sense for the "honest but unfortunate" debtor, but that result in vast injustice to victims of child sexual abuse with institutional claims. Non-debtors in many jurisdictions can be released from liability, the bar date set by the bankruptcy court often forces victims to come forward (or lose their claims) before they are ready, pending civil litigation is stayed upon filing a bankruptcy petition so victims are unable to continue conducting discovery, institutions often get away with being dishonest about the value of their assets, and they typically avoid having to disclose any information that shows the extent of their involvement or coverup in the abuse. The current system allows organizations to emerge from bankruptcy without giving any insight—to the public or their victims—into the systemic failures and bad actors facilitating abuse. It also excludes victims who may miss the bar date for filing claims, re-traumatizes victims, and often silences those who want to confront responsible parties in a public forum. The public loses because the truth is not made known. In short, Chapter 11 is a strategy and solution for organizations that failed to protect children from heinous crimes that is cruel to the victims and fails to address the regulatory failure that contributed to the abuse in the first place.

A. The Trauma Associated with Child Sexual Abuse Differentiates Victims from Other Creditors

Victims of child sexual abuse approach the Chapter 11 process with different needs, goals, and expectations than those of an average creditor. There is an extensive body of scientific evidence establishing that childhood sexual abuse victims are traumatized and harmed in a way that makes it difficult or impossible to process and cope with the abuse, or to self-report it. ⁸⁹ Victims often need decades to do so, and roughly one third of victims never disclose their abuse. ⁹⁰ This means that there are

likely many unrepresented victims of child sexual abuse who are not ready to come forward, or who cannot file a Chapter 11 claim against a debtor institution when it declares bankruptcy.

Trauma affects childhood victims of sexual abuse or assault in a way that is wholly distinguishable from victims of other crimes. As explained by the Center for Disease Control, Adverse Childhood Experiences, like child sexual abuse, "have a tremendous impact on future violence victimization and perpetration, and lifelong health and opportunity." The ACE Study is one of the largest investigations of the effects of childhood abuse, and definitively shows a strong correlation between ACEs and negative effects across the lifespan, including, disrupted neurodevelopment; impaired social, emotional, and cognitive development; psychiatric and physical disease; and disability. The negative effects of abuse generate significant costs for a victim over the course of their life. Financial recovery can be instrumental for victims to cover costs associated with healthcare and healing, but for many, it is not the only reason they came forward.

Victims of child sexual abuse and sexual assault often find healing and empowerment in knowing they are safe, knowing they are heard, and receiving very clear communication about the ongoing process of their claims and cases. ⁹⁴ Instilling a sense of control helps empower victims who may have had that power taken from them. ⁹⁵ Conversely, elements of the Chapter 11 process, like forcing victims to come forward before they are ready, lumping claims into larger groups, and refusing to hear individual stories, may actually retraumatize a victim, forcing them to relive their trauma. ⁹⁶

Many victims are motivated by a burning conviction that no child ever be harmed by a debtor's employees again. Those victims "seek to investigate [a debtor institution because] ... exposing the organization's negligence could reveal other potential defendants." For victims of Dr. Larry Nassar, "the main point of suing USA Gymnastics is not monetary, but rather to 'get to the truth' of how the abuse continued for as long as it did and who within USA Gymnastics knew about it." Therefore, having the ability to conduct discovery and share findings of how abusive environments flourished is often central to victims' interests. Unlike these victims, halting discovery processes are not crucial to creditors who have historically made claims through Chapter 11, because they have been more concerned about the payment of their debt. Chapter 11 in its current form takes little notice of and fails to adequately address the individualized needs of traumatized victims of child sexual abuse.

B. Chapter 11 Can Release Non-Debtor Third Parties from Liability in Sexual Abuse Claims Despite Their Involvement in the Claims

Many Chapter 11 plans also include non-debtor release provisions, both consensual and non-consensual, though no section of the Bankruptcy Code specifically allows for them. A non-debtor release provision in a reorganization plan under Chapter 11 "shields third parties who share an identity of interest with the debtor, usually corporate officers and directors of the organization, from any claim, cause of action, or liability from any party who has filed a claim under the bankruptcy proceeding." ⁹⁹ Consensual releases require the consent of the claimants, while non-consensual releases can be approved by the court regardless of whether the claimants consent. ¹⁰⁰

High-profile bankruptcy cases, including USA Gymnastics and the Boy Scouts of America, have catapulted this issue into the public square. Proposed reorganization plans from both institutions sought to release numerous non-debtor parties from any liability related to their relevant business activities. The Boy Scouts included provisions that sponsors of local troops, like churches, civic groups, and others, could be released from liability in exchange for assigning their insurance rights and making a substantial contribution to the settlement fund. ¹⁰¹ They could opt out of participating but would not receive protection in future litigation. ¹⁰² The Official Committee of Tort Claimants called the proposal a "get out of jail free card" in a motion requesting that the court terminate the organization's exclusive period to file a plan and solicit acceptances in order to file its own proposed plan. ¹⁰³

USA Gymnastics initially proposed a \$215 million settlement plan in January 2020 that was similarly rejected by the Additional Tort Claimants Committee of Sexual Abuse Survivors (the "Survivors' Committee"). ¹⁰⁴ The proposed plan would have released the U.S. Olympic & Paralympic Committee (USOPC); USAG chief executive, Steve Penny; the former national team directors, Bela and Martha Karolyi; the former U.S. Olympic team coach, Don Peters; and others from all claims. ¹⁰⁵ Further, it would not have provided for public disclosure of the non-debtors' knowledge of Nassar's abuse or their involvement in concealing

the abuse. John Manly, an attorney representing hundreds of victims, called the plan a "blatant disregard" for the victims. ¹⁰⁶ Specifically related to the releases, Manly stated: "Most disturbingly, this proposed plan attempts to absolve USOPC of any responsibility for these crimes which were committed under its watch. This plan from USAG is not just unworkable, it is unconscionable." ¹⁰⁷ The judge took note of the proposal to release USOPC from liability, stressing the "distinct possibility of liability on the part of USOPC" which would be released without paying anything to victims. ¹⁰⁸

In August 2021, USAG and the Survivors' Committee jointly proposed a settlement plan of nearly double USAG's initial proposal, \$425 million, to the bankruptcy court. ¹⁰⁹ Under the new plan, the USOPC would significantly contribute to the victims' compensation. ¹¹⁰ In exchange for accepting the settlement, the victims would end the claims against USAG, USOPC, and certain individuals within those organizations. ¹¹¹ USAG would also take numerous steps, including establishing reporting rules within the organization, to prevent future abuse. ¹¹² Still, the plan is missing an important element in holding the responsible actors accountable and preventing future abuse: information and insight, if any, into who knew about the abuse and what was done to cover it up. The USOPC, USAG, and individuals tied to the organizations continue to avoid taking any responsibility related to these cases.

Circuit courts are split regarding whether non-consensual third-party releases are permissible in a Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceeding. The Second, Third, Fourth, Sixth, Seventh, and Eleventh Circuits ¹¹³ permit such releases under certain circumstances while the Fifth, Ninth, and Tenth Circuits do not. ¹¹⁴ In the circuits that permit these releases, the courts consider the factors initially detailed in *In re Master Mortgage Investment Fund, Inc.* ¹¹⁵ and adopted in *In re Zenith Electronics Corp.*, ¹¹⁶ which require:

(1) an identity of interest between the debtor and the released party; (2) a "substantial contribution" to the reorganization made by the released party; (3) the essential nature of the injunction to the reorganization to the extent that, without the injunction, there is little likelihood of success; (4) an agreement by a substantial majority of creditors to support the injunction, specifically if the impacted class or classes "overwhelmingly" votes to accept the plan; and (5) provision in the plan for all or substantially all of the claims of the class affected by the injunction. ¹¹⁷

Despite the split in the courts, the release of non-debtors from liability creates an incentive for organizations to file for bankruptcy when they are inundated with child sexual abuse claims against both it and its insiders, including owners, managers and employees who have not filed for bankruptcy. As the Second Circuit Court of Appeals explained,

"[A] nondebtor release is a device that lends itself to abuse. By it, a nondebtor can shield itself from liability to third parties. In form, it is a release; in effect, it may operate as a bankruptcy discharge arranged without a filing and without the safeguards of the Code. The potential for abuse is heightened when releases afford blanket immunity." ¹¹⁸

The "blanket immunity" through child sexual abuse bankruptcies, provided to non-debtor organizations and the individuals who owned, managed or worked within it, fails to result in any actual accountability or incentive for third parties to prevent future abuse. It also does not encourage the creation and development of better child protection programs. The victims and the public lose; the immunized institutions bask in the ongoing secrecy.

C. Bankruptcy Bar Dates Conflict with and Override State Statutes of Limitations (SOL)

"[B]ankruptcy courts maintain broad discretion to set claims bar dates irrespective of state statutes of limitations." 119 This feature alone undermines many victims. When institutions file for bankruptcy amidst pending tort claims, the Bankruptcy Court sets a date at which all claims must be filed by claimants in order to be considered and subsequently recovered within the reorganization plan. A problem often arises when the bar date set in the bankruptcy proceeding does not align with the state's SOL, thereby forcing victims to come forward before their claims expire pursuant to state law.

1. Bankruptcy Bar Dates Cut Off Child Sexual Abuse Claims Even When the State SOL is Open

Historically, 90% of child victims never go to the authorities, and the vast majority of claims have expired under the applicable statute of limitations before the victims could get into court. ¹²⁰ According to medical and social science, victims of child sexual abuse come forward later in life, if at all, due to the impact of trauma. ¹²¹ One study of over 1,000 victims found that age 52 is the average age of disclosure for victims of child sex abuse who do come forward. ¹²² In response to this growing body of research, certain states are leading the way to turn back this scourge of concealed abuse. There is a nationwide trend to lengthen, eliminate, and revive civil statute of limitations for child sex abuse claims to hold institutions and perpetrators. ¹²³ The bar dates set in bankruptcy courts, however, are prematurely foreshortening victims' SOLs, leading to a re-traumatization of victims and deterring the public from learning the information it needs to understand the prevalence and dangers of child sexual abuse within youth-serving organizations.

In August 2020, the federal bankruptcy court denied Terin Humphrey, a former U.S. gymnast and two-time Olympic medalist, from being included in part of a potential settlement with USA Gymnastics after she narrowly missed the bar date as established by the court. ¹²⁴ Humphrey alleged that Nassar sexually abused her in 2002 when she was 15 years old. ¹²⁵ The applicable state law for civil action, Virginia, allows victims to bring a claim for sexual assault if that action is filed within prescribed limitations. ¹²⁶ Virginia limits a victim's ability to seek redress under a SOL that begins to run when "the fact of the injury and its causal connection to the sexual abuse is first communicated to the person by a licensed physician, psychologist, or clinical psychologist." ¹²⁷ For Humphrey, this communication from her doctor occurred in June of 2020. ¹²⁸ In Virginia, victims of child sex abuse are given until they are 38 years old, or 20 years after they discover their abuse, to file lawsuits. ¹²⁹

Thus, under Virginia law, Humphrey had until 2040 to bring a claim. However, the federal bankruptcy court entered an order on February 25, 2019 that set April 29, 2019 as the bar date for general claims and claims asserting sexual abuse. ¹³⁰ Humphrey brought her claim in bankruptcy court on July 30, 2020 and filed a Motion to Allow Late Filed Claim to Be Treated as Timely Filed. ¹³¹ The bankruptcy court denied her motion, with the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Indiana affirming the decision that her claim was untimely. ¹³² She did, however, bring her claim within Virginia's set time frame. Robert Allard, an attorney for Humphrey, emphasized that the Survivor's Committee objected to the acceptance of Humphrey's claim, a decision which Allard contends was "petty and political in nature," and that the late filing the only reason the claim was rejected. ¹³³ The outcome was a "step backwards from the great advancements we have made over the past decade to ensure that all victims of abuse in the Olympic movement are treated with fairness and integrity." ¹³⁴

a. Is A Bar Date That Trumps a State SOL for a Claim Against a Non-Debtor Constitutional?

This conflict between state SOL laws and bankruptcy bar dates also raises concerns related to both the power of the federal government vis-a-vis the states, federalism, and due process. The federal government is a government of enumerated, not plenary power. ¹³⁵ The Framers intentionally reserved power to the States. Congress has authority under the Bankruptcy Clause of the United States Constitution to enact uniform laws governing bankruptcy procedures. ¹³⁶ However, Congress' authority is not without limit.

The Tenth Amendment states that "[t]he powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people." ¹³⁷ States retain a "residuary and inviolable sovereignty" in all matters where Congress has not been given authority to legislate. ¹³⁸ Further, states have more autonomy in areas of historical, traditional authority, including family law ¹³⁹ and "state regulations of health and safety." ¹⁴⁰ Many states, including Virginia "ha[ve] a compelling interest, one central to its right to survive, in protecting its children from treatment it determines is physically or psychologically injurious to youth." ¹⁴¹ Therefore, states have the inherent authority to determine the statutes of limitations for child sexual abuse crimes and torts occurring in those states. While the federal government can supplement the SOL or provide a better alternative, it cannot cut short a state's SOL for victims.

The Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution "impose[] constraints on governmental decisions which deprive individuals of 'liberty' or 'property' interests." ¹⁴² Many states' statutes of limitations for child sex abuse are supported by the state's compelling interest in identifying perpetrators, making institutions accountable by shifting the cost of the abuse to those responsible, and educating the public. ¹⁴³ This interest gives victims a right to redress that should not be denied by a bankruptcy court's interest in efficient reorganization, especially when it involves claims against and the release of a non-debtor. A denial of a non-debtor's claims against another non-debtor based on a short bar date—which was fixed only because of the happenstance of a bankruptcy case in both non-debtors were involved—poses potential due process violations as guaranteed by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments.

2. Bankruptcy Bar Dates Often Force Victims to Come Forward Before They Are Ready

When the Bankruptcy Court sets the date for claims to be filed, many victims are forced to come forward to reveal their abuse before they are ready. As previously discussed, settled research has established that most victims experience traumatic effects that delay disclosure for decades and, for many, permanently.

In Humphrey's case, she maintained that she did not experience any physical or emotional effects of Nassar's abuse until she became pregnant with her first child in May 2019, past the bar date. ¹⁴⁴ Throughout her pregnancy, she recounted severe anxiety when being examined, which was heightened if the examination was being conducted by a male doctor. ¹⁴⁵ During childbirth and thereafter, she suffered from distressing memories and flashbacks of Nassar's sexual abuse and submitted that the recognition of the abuse started at the beginning of her pregnancy. ¹⁴⁶ Dr. Steven A. Elig, who performed a psychiatric evaluation of Humphrey, also indicated that Humphrey's experience is not unusual and concluded the following:

"Delayed symptoms and disclosure of sexual abuse are not uncommon ... Ms. Humphrey clearly recalled the incident of child sexual abuse during adolescence and early adulthood, but she did not experience significant psychological symptoms until genital examination during pregnancy and childbirth served as a powerful reminder and precipitated a feeling of recurrence of sexual abuse. She was then flooded with feelings of vulnerability, helplessness, guilt, defectiveness, and lack of trust. Prior to that time, she had also been in the child sexual abuse, creating a potent loyalty bind. These factors credibly explain Ms. Humphrey's pattern of delayed symptoms and disclosure from a psychiatric viewpoint." 147

Humphrey was diagnosed with Child Sexual Abuse, PTSD with delayed expression, and Major Depressive Disorder. ¹⁴⁸ Her story is not uncommon.

D. The Automatic Stay Under the Bankruptcy Code Halts the Discovery Process in Child Sexual Abuse Cases

Filing a bankruptcy petition under Chapter 11 operates as an automatic stay of the commencement or continuation of any judicial, administrative, or other proceeding against the debtor that commenced before the bankruptcy case. ¹⁴⁹ In some unusual cases, third parties that have not filed for reorganization may also seek and obtain stays on pending litigation. ¹⁵⁰ The automatic stay remains in effect until the case is closed, the case is dismissed, or a discharge is granted or denied. ¹⁵¹ Therefore, when institutions are faced with tort claims filed by sexual abuse victims, the automatic stay will both prolong the time before victims receive compensation as well as the discovery in those pending cases. Victims are unable to gather information and conduct interviews with witnesses through the discovery process to determine the institution's role in the abuse and the actors responsible for concealing it. Through exposing the organizations' negligence, victims could also uncover other actors responsible and seek damages from another responsible party. While child custody, domestic violence, and other types of legal actions are exempt from this rule pursuant to Section 362(b) of Chapter 11, sexual abuse claims do not fall within the statutory exemption. ¹⁵²

a. Institutions Avoid Disclosing Details of Abuse Under Chapter 11 Reorganization Plans

The history of Catholic dioceses in voluntary Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceedings show how the automatic stay does not just deny a victim his day in court, but also shields the diocese from disclosing any evidence of knowledge or coverup. ¹⁵³ The San Diego Catholic Diocese filed for bankruptcy under Chapter 11 in February 2007 while facing more than 140 civil lawsuits related to sexual abuse by priests. ¹⁵⁴ Irwin Zalkin, a lawyer for several plaintiffs, said the most important part of the cases

was having the church agree to release personnel documents that were expected to chronicle the history and pattern of abuse. Paul Livingston, director of the San Diego chapter of the Survivors Network of those Abused by Priests, said the disclosures would "show lay Catholics that these victims are not about collecting money ... They are about truth, justice and the future protection of children." ¹⁵⁵

The Harrisburg, Pennsylvania diocese filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy on February 19, 2020. ¹⁵⁶ Benjamin D. Andreozzi, an attorney in Harrisburg, told the New York Times that three of his clients' lawsuits filed in New Jersey would be stayed just as the diocese was beginning to disclose an estimated 100,000 pages of internal documents related to clergy sexual abuse from 1970 to 2002. ¹⁵⁷ He said, "my clients are incredibly frustrated. They wanted full transparency and they will inevitably be denied the opportunity to confront witnesses that have the answers to the questions that have haunted them for many years." ¹⁵⁸

b. "A Well-Dressed Cover-Up": USA Gymnasts Fight for Answers

In the case of USA Gymnastics, the bankruptcy filing halted all lawsuits by the victims against USA Gymnastics and the USPOC's decertification process of USA Gymnastics. ¹⁵⁹ The victims were seeking more than financial compensation when they filed suits; they wanted to expose the organizations and actors responsible for allowing Nassar's abuse to prevail for decades. ¹⁶⁰ In January 2020, the institution proposed a settlement offer of \$215 million to those victims seeking justice. ¹⁶¹ The settlement did not address the disclosure of documents or other information that would show the extent the institution knew of Nassar's abuse and the lengths it (and other organizations) went to conceal it. ¹⁶² The proposal was immediately dismissed by victims' attorneys, with Manly calling it a "well-dressed cover-up." ¹⁶³ He noted that the plan lacked "critical, structural" plans to ensure the safety of future gymnasts and ignored requests for the disclosure of documents related to Nassar's years of abuse. ¹⁶⁴

Victims of Nassar fought the court for answers related to the extent that USA Gymnastics and the USOPC knew about Nassar's abuse and what steps, if any, were taken to conceal the abuse and prevent it from happening to future athletes. ¹⁶⁵ The claimants issued eight subpoenas for eight current and former USOPC directors, officers, and employees in an effort to seek information related to the USOPC's liability in connection with the bankruptcy proceeding. ¹⁶⁶ The USOPC then filed a motion for a protective order to limit the Survivor Committee's request, indicating that it was "vastly overbroad and duplicative," and that the request failed to make a connection to the underlying Chapter 11 case. ¹⁶⁷ The bankruptcy judge issued a split decision, agreeing with the USOPC that the claimants "stray[ed] significantly from the narrowly tailored and relevant discovery parameters" but that the claimants could depose three current and former directors and officers, and seek information on a narrow range of topics addressing the committee's response to sexual abuse claims. ¹⁶⁸

E. Some Institutions Try to Use Chapter 11 to Hide Assets from Victims

Unless ordered otherwise by the court, an organization filing for Chapter 11 reorganization must file schedules of assets and liabilities; a schedule of current income and expenditures; a schedule of executory contracts and unexpired leases; and a statement of financial affairs. ¹⁶⁹ Generally, a written disclosure statement must also be filed with the court and served on interested parties if the debtor is to propose confirmation of a plan of reorganization. ¹⁷⁰ The disclosure statement is a document that must contain information concerning the assets, liabilities, and business affairs of the debtor sufficient to enable a creditor to make an informed judgment about the debtor's plan of reorganization. ¹⁷¹ History shows, however, that institutions faced with pending child sexual abuse cases, such as Catholic dioceses, have attempted to hide the value of their estate to limit the amount paid into a settlement fund in connection with their "reorganization".

The San Diego Catholic Diocese bankruptcy case in 2007 was fraught with issues related to the diocese's financial disclosures. While leading up to the eventual settlement, the victims' attorneys accused the diocese of submitting misleading financial reports, and Judge Louise DeCarl Adler scheduled a hearing on an Order to Show Cause Re: Contempt or Other Sanctions due to apparent financial irregularities. ¹⁷² In response, she appointed an independent investigator "to examine the financial structure of the [diocese], its cash flow, including uses and sources of income, and such other issues pertinent to the Cash Management Motion of [the diocese], all to be more fully defined by the Court in a separate order ..." ¹⁷³

The expert ultimately found that the diocese's financial statements were inaccurate, and some parishes engaged in "openly questionable activities" in some accounts. ¹⁷⁴ For example, the expert uncovered that, just prior to the bankruptcy filing, Our Lady of Guadalupe parish engaged in a series of transactions that "deliberately concealed" almost \$50,000 from the bankruptcy court. ¹⁷⁵ The court scheduled another hearing to show cause why the diocese's case should not be dismissed in light of the expert's findings. ¹⁷⁶ The bankruptcy case was ultimately dismissed in November 2017 after a settlement agreement where the diocese agreed to pay \$198 million to 144 abuse victims. ¹⁷⁷ However, when granting the request to close the bankruptcy proceedings in light of the settlement, Adler condemned the diocese, stating that it could have settled the claims without seeking bankruptcy. ¹⁷⁸ Further, she noted that Chapter 11 "is not supposed to be a vehicle, a method, to hammer down the claims of those abused." ¹⁷⁹ The diocese still maintained that it was honest in its disclosures. ¹⁸⁰

Similarly, when the Archdiocese of St. Paul and Minneapolis filed for bankruptcy in 2015, the diocese was accused by victims' attorneys of hiding more than \$1 billion in assets. ¹⁸¹ The diocese reported \$45 million in assets and indicated that it did not own the parishes, schools, or numerous cemeteries in the area. ¹⁸² The victims argued that the number was closer to \$1.7 billion when considering those other entities and alleged the diocese had taken steps to shield various assets, including painting over signs at cemeteries to conceal ownership. ¹⁸³ The archbishop insisted it had disclosed all assets and was fully cooperative with the bankruptcy process. ¹⁸⁴

In May 2016, the committee of claimants filed a motion asking the court under Code Section 105(a) to substantively consolidate the archdiocese with over 200 non-debtor affiliated non-profit entities, including 187 parishes, arguing that the archdiocese had "direct control and supervision in all material aspects" over those affiliated entities. ¹⁸⁵ The bankruptcy court denied the motion, and the U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota and Eighth Circuit affirmed the decision, agreeing with the bankruptcy court that Section 303(a) of Chapter 11, which addresses when involuntary cases may be brought, "prevents the use of Section 105(a) to force truly independent non-profit entities into involuntary bankruptcy." ¹⁸⁶

The Eighth Circuit further concluded that "global consolidation of all entities in the Archdiocese is not authorized by the Bankruptcy Code. There are remedies available in the Bankruptcy Code to address specific abuses by Debtor or other entities, if they exist. Substantive consolidation of all related entities, however, is not one of those remedies." ¹⁸⁷ After nearly four years, the archdiocese reached a \$210 million settlement agreement with the 450 abuse victims. If organizations facing mass tort liability misrepresent the value of their available assets to a court, or ring-fence assets with non-debtor affiliates, then the victims' prospects for recovery are undermined from the very beginning of the bankruptcy case.

IV. Reforming Chapter 11 to Adequately and Justly Reorganize in the Face of Mass Child Sexual Abuse

As detailed in Part III, the application of Chapter 11 has re-traumatized child sexual abuse victims while sheltering the institutions that have endangered children. It also derails the public search for the truth about widespread child sex abuse by short-circuiting discovery and shifting the attention from the victims and systematic failures to the financial needs of the institution. Therefore, reform is needed within the federal bankruptcy system to shift the focus away from those responsible institutions, hold them accountable, and ensure a fair and just process for the victims.

A. Needed Legislative Amendments to the Bankruptcy Code in Child Sexual Abuse Cases

Numerous legislative amendments to Chapter 11 must be considered to combat the failures within the current system, including a ban on non-debtor releases, prohibiting a state statute of limitations from barring recovery in a bankruptcy case on an abuse claim, expanding the bar date for claims, exempting child sexual abuse cases from the automatic stay, employing forensic accountants to assess the debtor's estate, disclosing the number of valid claims in connection with any proposed reorganization plan, and excluding any payments resulting from child abuse cases from discharge.

1. Limiting Non-Debtor Releases, Stays and Ring-Fencing

Youth-serving institutions should not be permitted to use Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code to inappropriately shield themselves and other responsible, related organizations from accountability for their part in child sex abuse, over the protests of victims. Therefore, non-consensual, non-debtor third-party releases should be prohibited.

In July 2021, the House Committee on the Judiciary Subcommittee on Antitrust, Commercial, and Administrative Law proposed the Nondebtor Release Prohibition Act of 2021 (NRPA) to prohibit the use of non-consensual, non-debtor releases that have helped entities and individuals escape accountability for wrongdoing through bankruptcy proceedings. ¹⁸⁸ The Act would essentially eliminate the use of non-consensual, non-debtor releases in private claims and those brought by the government. ¹⁸⁹ Specifically, "it would prevent individuals who have not filed for bankruptcy from obtaining releases from lawsuits brought by private parties, states, Tribes, municipalities, or the U.S. government in bankruptcy by prohibiting the court from discharging, releasing, terminating or modifying the liability of and claim or cause of action against any entity other than the debtor or estate; and prohibiting the court from permanently enjoining the commencement or continuation of any action with respect to an entity other than the debtor or estate." ¹⁹⁰

The NRPA proposes to add a new section 113 to the Bankruptcy Code, stating that the court would not be permitted to discharge, release, terminate, or modify a claim or cause of action against a non-debtor, regardless of whether it was approved in a reorganization plan. ¹⁹¹ The Act also limits a debtor's ability to stay or enjoin lawsuits against non-debtors to 90 days, and an extension beyond the 90-day timeframe may be permitted with the "express consent of the entity whose claim or cause of action is stayed or enjoined." ¹⁹² Additionally, the NRPA proposes to amend section 1112 of the Code related to divisional mergers. The proposed section 1112(f) would, upon a request of a party in interest, require the court to dismiss a Chapter 11 case if the debtor or a predecessor of the debtor was formed in connection with a divisional merger or equivalent transaction within the past 10 years before the bankruptcy petition was filed. ¹⁹³

Critics of the NRPA argue that non-consensual third-party releases and the automatic stay are effective methods for resolving complex cases and avoiding liquidation and costly litigation. ¹⁹⁴ Additionally, they urge that courts have set a high bar to debtors' using these tools, emphasizing the factors previously mentioned that the courts consider. ¹⁹⁵ Therefore, they argue that the NRPA may ultimately harm tort claimants by discouraging settlements, and insist that the bankruptcy courts are best suited to determine whether lawsuits against non-debtors should be enjoined and if a third-party release in a debtor's plan is appropriate. ¹⁹⁶

Despite these criticisms of the NRPA, legislative reform related to non-debtor releases is undoubtedly needed. The plans for reorganization in these bankruptcy cases that release non-debtors from liability significantly impact tort claimants who are victims of sexual abuse. Thus, legislation like the NRPA is critical to both protecting victims and exposing the predators within these institutions who are responsible for the abuse.

2. Prohibiting the State Statute of Limitations from Barring Recovery in Bankruptcy for a Claim or from Impacting Classification of Claims

The fate of a victim's recovery in bankruptcy court should not be determined by where they were abused as a child. Trauma does not restrict itself to the states where victims have appropriately generous statutes of limitations. A growing number of states have heeded the science surrounding the impact of trauma and delayed disclosure, improving their statute of limitations for victims. ¹⁹⁷ However, victims who were unable to come forward before their state statute of limitations expired should not be penalized for their delay. Each victim of child sex abuse experiences some form of trauma and deserves access to justice. Additionally, the classification of claims should not be based on a state's arbitrary determination of a statute of limitations, especially where such consideration would subjugate one victim's claim under another.

Thus, Section 1111 under Chapter 11 should be amended to add an additional subsection that allows claims relating to the sexual assault of a child to be deemed filed regardless of and notwithstanding the state statute of limitation otherwise applicable to the claim. Further, Section 1122(a), which indicates that a plan may place a claim or interest in a class if such claim or interest is "substantially similar" to the others in the class, should be amended as to specify that, when designating a class of creditors whose claims are related to the sexual assault of a child, a plan may not consider the state statute of limitations, and must use a trauma analysis in its determination of whether a claim is "substantially similar" to other claims.

3. Expanding the Bankruptcy Bar Date for Child Sexual Abuse Cases

Setting an arbitrary bar date on child sex abuse claims will disenfranchise victims who are not ready or able to come forward. The bar date for filing claims should be expanded to account for the research of delayed disclosure as numerous states have accomplished through statute of limitations reform.

Thus, Section 1111 of the Code should be amended by adding that a claim deriving from the sexual abuse of a child is considered timely filed if the claim is filed on or before the latest of (1) the date that is five (5) years after the date of the filing of the bankruptcy petition; (2) the date that is 10 years after the date on which the victim is the sexual abuse of a child reaches 18 years if age; and (3) the termination of a reasonable period of time following the establishment of the sexual abuse of the victim that that the abuse occurred. Although some claimants will still inevitably be barred from filing, these time conditions prevent the courts from setting a restrictive and arbitrary bar date while ensuring an expanded opportunity for claimants based on the research related to delayed disclosure.

4. Exempting Child Sexual Abuse Cases from the Automatic Stay

Section 362(b) of Chapter 11, which provides exemptions to the automatic stay, should be amended to add an additional subsection exempting sexual assault of a child or related claims from the automatic stay when a debtor files a petition under Chapter 11. Instead of halting all active civil litigation related to child sex abuse when an institution files for bankruptcy under Chapter 11, victims should be permitted to continue conducting discovery. This amendment will improve the accuracy and quality of bankruptcy claims and reorganization plans, as well as identify unknown predators, provide victims better access to justice, and more effectively educate the public on how institutions enable abuse.

5. Publicizing the Number of Valid Claims, Proposed Reorganization Plan, and Future Claims Plan

Increasing the public disclosure of the number of claims, as well as proposed plan for reorganization and future claims serves the public policy of educating the public about the prevalence of child sex abuse and empowers the public to understand the Chapter 11 process. These institutions should also be exempted from the protection afforded to institutions related to scandalous or defamatory matters.

Thus, Section 107(a) of Chapter 11, which provides that the dockets of bankruptcy court are public records and open to examination by an entity at reasonable times, should be expanded to include that, for all cases regarding debts or other financial liability arising from allegations of sexual assault of a child, the court shall release, or cause to be released, records at least 90 days prior to approving a settlement or reorganization plan including, but not limited to: (1) the number of valid claims against the individual or institution; (2) a copy of the proposed reorganization plan including, but not limited to, any provisions to implement policy changes to prevent or respond to child abuse risks; and (3) details of any applicable proposed future claims fund, including contact information for any future claims representatives and instructions for submitting claims.

Additionally, the bankruptcy court's authority under Code Section 107(b), to protect persons from the publication of scandalous or defamatory matters, should be amended to exempt information relating to allegations of sexual assault of a child from this section.

6. Excluding Payments Resulting from Child Sex Abuse Cases from Discharge

Section 1141 of Chapter 11 outlines the effects that a reorganization plan has on the debtor. Specifically, under subsection (d), the confirmation of a plan "discharges the debtor from any debt that arose before the date of the order for relief unless the plan or the order confirming the plan provides otherwise." However, the plan itself may indicate otherwise and, under paragraphs (2) and (3), certain debts are excluded from the discharge following a reorganization plan. ¹⁹⁹ Court orders or settlement agreements pertaining to child sexual abuse cases are not included in the exclusions and it therefore might be asserted that they are discharged under Section 1141 as it is currently written when a reorganization plan is confirmed.

Therefore, a bankruptcy court should expressly exclude such claims from its confirmation order. In addition, Section 1141(d) should be amended by adding a new paragraph (7) that states that a discharge under this chapter does not discharge the debtor from: (1) any judgment, order, consent order, or decree relating to the role of the debtor in the sexual abuse of a child entered in any Federal or State judicial or administrative proceeding before, on, or after the date on which the petition is filed; (2) any settlement agreement relating to the role of the debtor in the sexual abuse of a child entered into by the debtor before, on, or after the date on which the petition is filed; or (3) any court or administrative order issued before, on, or after the date on which

the petition is filed for any damages, fine, penalty, citation, restitution payment, disgorgement payment, attorney fee, cost, or other payment owed by the debtor relating to role of the debtor in the sexual abuse of a child.

7. Employing Forensic Accountants to Assess the Debtor's Estate

In cases involving a debtor that is registered as a 501(c)(3) organization under the Internal Revenue Code, bankruptcy courts should be required to employ forensic accountants to review all assets and interests of the debtor and require the accountants to submit reports on the assets and interests of the organization prior to the determination of the scope of the estate, as the court did in the San Diego Diocese bankruptcy case. This ensures that debtor organizations are fairly submitting their assets for consideration in a reorganization plan, while bestowing courts with further confidence to efficiently assess the debtor's assets.

Code Section 541, which defines what a debtor's estate entails, should be amended to state that, in all cases regarding debts or other financial liability arising from allegations of sexual assault of a child, involving debtors that are organizations described

in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and exempt from tax under section 501(a) of such Code, the Court is required to engage the services of an independent forensic accountant to review the assets and interests of such debtor, and require preparation of a report to assist the Court with ensuring that such assets and interests are properly included or excluded from estate as defined in this section.

B. Changes within the Judicial Conference

In addition to legislative amendments to Chapter 11, changes are needed within the Bankruptcy Courts themselves, particularly related to judicial officers and staff, in order for the proceedings to become empowering, rather than traumatizing, spaces for victims. If the Judicial Conference does not take up these issues, Congress should use its authority to mandate these changes.

1. Trauma-Informed Training for Judges and Court Personnel

Bankruptcy court judges are not currently mandated to undergo any judicial training pertaining to trauma of child sexual abuse and the myriad of effects abuse has on victims that could impact the cases before them. Yet, these judges are making crucial decisions, not just in confirming a reorganization plan, but also related to how the proceedings unfold, such as setting bar dates for claims. If institutions are going to keep filing for bankruptcy under Chapter 11, thereby forcing the bankruptcy courts to handle cases related to child sexual abuse, it is critical for judges to understand the life-long, individualized trauma that victims experience. The system should therefore require judges to undergo trauma-informed training regarding the presentation and impact of child sex abuse, as well as trauma-informed administrative practices. 200

Section 105 of Chapter 11, which denotes the power of the bankruptcy court, should therefore be amended to add an additional subsection which would state that, prior to assignment of a case regarding potential debts or other financial liability arising from allegations of sexual abuse or assault of a child, judges and court personnel shall be required to complete a trauma-informed training regarding the impact and presentation of childhood sexual assault, as well as trauma-informed administration practices. The Court should also be required to maintain a list of such approved trainings and implement a trauma-informed practice in cases involving financial liability incurred as a result of a finding of civil liability arising from facts of sexual abuse or assault.

2. Require Courts to Permit Victim-Impact Statements

In Chapter 11 cases involving child sexual abuse claims, judges should be required to give victims the right to read a victimimpact statement before a reorganization plan is confirmed. When organizations file for bankruptcy, some right before a civil trial is scheduled to begin as described above, victims lose their day in court in publicly confronting these institutions and sharing with the court the effects the abuse has had on their lives. This mandate would allow victims who are ready to share their story to have a voice in the proceeding and speak directly to the judge regarding the proposed outcome of the case, as would be the case in a civil litigation process.

Section 1109(b) of Chapter 11, which states that a party in interest may appear and be heard on any issue in a case, should therefore be amended to add that, in cases for claims related to alleged sexual assault of a child, victims of the alleged sexual assault may appear and have their victim-impact statements heard before the confirmation of the reorganization plan. Further, Section 1101, which lists definitions pertaining to Chapter 11, should be amended to add the definition of "victim impact statement" as a written or oral statement describing the emotional, physical, and financial impact suffered as a result of the sexual abuse of the child.

Conclusion

There is no one quick fix to make Chapter 11 a proceeding that is a safe space for victims and a process to educate the public on the prevalence and long-lasting effects of child sexual abuse. Bankruptcy law was designed to provide an honest debtor reprieve from debilitating debt, and Chapter 11 to enable an organization to remain operational until it can restructure its debts through a reorganization plan. Instead, Chapter 11 has been used as an avenue for institutions facing mass tort claims to avoid being financially crushed from the outcomes of those claims while also evading their responsibility for the lifelong harms caused to their victims. Specifically, youth-serving organizations that are alleged and proven to have played a role in child sexual abuse cases continue to seek refuge in the bankruptcy system, creating significant problems for the victims seeking, not just financial compensation, but answers related to the failures of the institutions to protect them. Legislative reform to Chapter 11 is required to shift the role of bankruptcy law from merely assisting the responsible organizations in improving their financial state and escaping liability, to ensuring that these institutions are held accountable and victims of child sexual abuse secure fair outcomes.

Westlaw. © 2021 Thomson Reuters. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works.

Footnotes

| * | Professor Marci A. Hamilton is a First Amendment constitutional scholar at the University of Pennsylvania who has led the battle against extreme religious liberty that harms others and who founded CHILD USA, a national nonprofit think tank devoted to ending child abuse and neglect. Bridget Brainard is a Staff Attorney at CHILD USA who graduated from Pennsylvania State University's Dickinson School of Law and has prior experience working in the child welfare, Family Court, and criminal justice systems. Harner, Michelle, M., Rethinking Preemption and Constitutional Parameters in Bankruptcy, 59 Wm. & |
|----|--|
| | Mary L. Rev. 147, 194 (2017) (citing Local Loan Co. v. Hunt, 292 U.S. 234, 244, 54 S. Ct. 695, 78 L. Ed. 1230, 93 A.L.R. 195 (1934)) (hereinafter "Rethinking Preemption"). |
| 2 | See Rethinking Preemption, at 180–81. |
| 3 | See infra Section III.A & D. |
| 4 | See infra Section III.C. |
| 5 | See infra Section III.B. |
| 6 | Comment, Relief from Tort Liability Through Reorganization, 131 U. Pa. L. Rev. 1227, 1230 (1983) (hereinafter "Relief from Tort Liability"). |
| 7 | Relief from Tort Liability, at 1229; David A. Skeel, Jr., DEBT'S DOMINION 69 (2001). |
| 8 | Relief from Tort Liability, at 1230. |
| 9 | City Bank Farmers Trust Co. v. Irving Trust Co., 299 U.S. 433, 438, 57 S. Ct. 292, 294–95, 81 L. Ed. 324 (1937). |
| 10 | Relief from Tort Liability, at 1231. |
| 11 | Greg M. Zipes, After Amchem and Ahearn: The Rise of Bankruptcy over the Class Action Option for Resolving Mass Torts on a Nationwide Basis, and the Fall of Finality?, 1998 Det. C.L. Rev. 7, 50 (1998) |
| | (hereinafter "After Amchem and Ahearn") (citing In re Keniston, 85 B.R. 202, 214, Bankr. L. Rep. |
| | (CCH) P 72281 (Bankr. D. N.H. 1988) (rejected by, In re Lamanna, 153 F.3d 1, 33 Bankr. Ct. Dec. (CRR) 176, 40 Collier Bankr. Cas. 2d (MB) 937, Bankr. L. Rep. (CCH) P 77791 (1st Cir. 1998))). |
| 12 | After Amchem and Ahearn, at 50 (citing 11 U.S.C.A. §§ 707, 1307 (1994)). |
| 13 | H.R. REP. NO. 95-595, at 220 (1977), reprinted in 1978 U.S.C.C.A.N. 5963, 6179; Rethinking Preemption, at 194. |

```
14
                     Relief, supra note 6 (citing H.R. Rep. No. 595, at 220, reprinted in 1978 U.S. Code Cong. & Ad. News
                     at 6179).
                    Hare Krishna congregations filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy in February 2002 after being faced with
15
                     a $400 million civil lawsuit that alleged physical, sexual, and emotional abuse of boarding school
                     students. See Hare Krishna's Bankruptcy Plans, THE ASSOCIATED PRESS (Feb. 7, 2002) https://
                     www.cbsnews.com/news/hare-krishnas-bankruptcy-plans/.
16
                        11 U.S.C.A. § 362(a).
17
                        11 U.S.C.A. § 362(a) to (b).
                     Relief from Tort Liability, at 1233.
18
                     Relief from Tort Liability, at 1233.
19
                     11 U.S.C.A. § 1102.
20
                     11 U.S.C.A. § 1102.
21
                     11 U.S.C.A. § 1102.
22
23
                     11 U.S.C.A. § 1103.
24
                        11 U.S.C.A. § 1123(a)(1).
                     See 11 U.S.C.A. § 1111(b)(1)(A).
25
26
                     See 11 U.S.C.A. § 507(a).
                     11 U.S.C.A. 1122(a)
27
28
                        11 U.S.C.A. 1123(a)(4).
                     See supra note 6 and accompanying text.
29
                     1 COLLIER ON BANKRUPTCY ¶ 1.01 (16th ed. 2020).
30
                     Zipes, supra note 11.
31
                     Zipes, supra note 11, at 45–46.
32
33
                    Zipes, supra note 11; see also Kane v. Johns-Manville Corp., 843 F.2d 636, 639, 17 Bankr. Ct. Dec.
                     (CRR) 695, Bankr. L. Rep. (CCH) P 72264 (2d Cir. 1988).
34
                     In re Johns-Manville Corp., 36 B.R. 743, 746, 11 Bankr. Ct. Dec. (CRR) 384, 9 Collier Bankr. Cas.
                    2d (MB) 1199, Bankr. L. Rep. (CCH) P 69700 (Bankr. S.D. N.Y. 1984), order affd, 52 B.R. 940 (S.D.
                    N.Y. 1985).
35
                     In re Johns-Manville Corp., 36 B.R. at 746.
                     Relief from Tort Liability, at 1238.
36
                     Relief from Tort Liability, at 1238.
37
                     Relief from Tort Liability, at 1238.
38
                     C. Pernicone & R. Sullivan, Use of bankruptcy law as a shield against toxic tort liability, 9 N.Y. PRAC.
39
                    ENV. L. & REG. IN N.Y. § 2:24 (2d ed, Sept. 2021) (listing Celotex Corporation; UNR Industries;
                     Amatex Corporation; Forty-Eight Insulations and National Gypsum Co.).
                     11 U.S.C.A. § 1141(d).
40
                     Bogdan v. Stolt-Nielsen S.A., 2005 WL 946579 *1 (E.D. N.Y. 2005).
41
                     Congressional Research Service, Overview of the Opioid Litigation and Related Settlements and
42
                     Settlement Proposals 1 (2019).
                     Among other prescription medications, Purdue Pharma manufactures the opioid OxyContin.
43
                    Paul D. Rheingold & David J. Molton, Litigating Mass Tort Cases § 12:66 (2021).
44
                    CONGRESSIONAL RESEARCH SERVICE, supra note 41, at 2.
45
                    CONGRESSIONAL RESEARCH SERVICE, supra note 41, at 2; RHEINGOLD & MORTON, supra
46
                     note 43, § 12:66.
                     Abbe R. Gluck & Elizabeth Chamblee Birch, MDL Revolution, 96 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 1, 47 (2021);
47
                    RHEINGOLD & MORTON, supra note 43, § 12:66.
48
                     MDL Revolution, 96 N.Y.U. L. Rev. at 47 (citing Notice of Filing of Term Sheet with Ad Hoc Committee
                     at 4, In re Purdue Pharma L.P., No. 19-23649 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Oct. 8, 2019), ECF No. 257 ("Pursuant
```

| 49 | to the chapter 11 plan, 100% of the assets or equity of Purdue will be placed under a trust or a similar post-emergence structure, for the benefit of claimants and the U.S. public"). MDL Revolution, 96 N.Y.U. L. Rev. at 47. |
|----|--|
| 50 | Statement from Attorney General Shapiro on Purdue Settlement, ATTORNEYGENERAL.GOV (July 8, 2021), available at https://www.attorneygeneral.gov/taking-action/statements/statement-from-attorney- |
| 51 | general-shapiro-on-purdue-resolution/. Statement from Attorney General Shapiro, at 48. |
| 52 | Statement from Attorney General Shapiro, at 48. |
| 53 | Statement from Attorney General Shapiro, at 48. |
| 54 | Statement from Attorney General Shapiro, at 48–49. |
| 55 | Jan Hoffman, Purdue Pharma is Dissolved and Sacklers Pay \$4.5 Billion to Settle Opioid Claims, N.Y. TIMES, Sept. 1, 2021. |
| 56 | Purdue Pharma. |
| 57 | Purdue Pharma. |
| 58 | Purdue Pharma. |
| 59 | Purdue Pharma. |
| 60 | Colin Dwyer, Your Guide to the Massive (And Massively Complex) Opioid Litigation, NPR, Oct. 15, 2019. |
| 61 | Tom Hals, Bankrupt Insys reaches deal to divvy cash among opioid victims, REUTERS, Nov. 21, 2019. |
| 62 | Bankrupt Insys reaches deal. |
| 63 | Maria Chutchian, Mallinckrodt bankruptcy judge approves kickoff of voting process, REUTERS, June 16, 2021. |
| 64 | See infra Section III. A. |
| 65 | In re Roman Catholic Archbishop of Portland In OR, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, D. Oregon (June 24, 2009). |
| 66 | Goodstein, L., Oregon Archdiocese Files for Bankruptcy Protection, THE NEW YORK TIMES (July 7, 2004) https://www.nytimes.com/2004/07/07/us/oregon-archdiocese-files-for-bankruptcy-protection.html (hereinafter "Oregon Archdiocese"). |
| 67 | Oregon Archdiocese. |
| 68 | Oregon Archdiocese. |
| 69 | Oregon Archdiocese. Grammond was never criminally prosecuted because the statute of limitations had expired before his first accuser came forward in 1999. |
| 70 | Oregon Archdiocese. |
| 71 | Press Release, Archdiocese of Portland in Oregon (July 6, 2004) (on file with author), available at http://www.bishop-accountability.org/bankrupt/2004-07-06-Vlazny-Letter.htm |
| 72 | In re Roman Catholic Archbishop of Portland in Oregon, 345 B.R. 686, 46 Bankr. Ct. Dec. (CRR) 230 (Bankr. D. Or. 2006); see also Reilly, Marie T., Bankruptcy in Catholic Dioceses, 49 Seton Hall L. Rev. 871 (2019) (citing 1983 CODE C.11, 96-144)). "The 1983 Code of Canon Law ('canon law') governs the conduct of all Catholics. It establishes the internal organizational structure and procedures of the Catholic Church and the property rights and agency relationships of entities within the Church." |
| 73 | Press Release, Archdiocese of Portland in Oregon, supra note 70. |
| 74 | Press Release, Archdiocese of Portland in Oregon, supra note 70. |
| 75 | In re Roman Catholic Archbishop of Portland in Or., Case No. 04-37154 (Bankr. D. Or. Apr. 13, 2007). |
| 76 | In re The Roman Catholic Church of Diocese of Tucson, Case No. 4-04-BK-4721-JMM (Bankr. D. Ariz. Feb. 21, 2008); In re The Catholic Bishop of Spokane, Case No. 04-08822-FPC11 (Bankr. E. D. Wash. Dec. 6, 2004). |
| 77 | Bankruptcy Protection in the Abuse Crisis, BISHOPACCOUNTABILITY.ORG, https://www.bishop-accountability.org/bankruptcy.htm. |
| 78 | Timeline for key motions and actions regarding USA Gymnastics' bankruptcy case, USAGYM.ORG (last visited Oct. 12, 2021), https://usagym.org/pages/aboutus/pages/bankruptcy.html. |
| 79 | In re USA Gymnastics, Case No. 18-09108-RLM-11 (Bankr. S. D. Ind. Dec. 5, 2018). |
| 80 | In re USA Gymnastics, Case No. 18-09108-RLM-11. |
| 81 | Levenson, E., Larry Nassar Apologizes, Gets 40 to 125 Years for Decades of Sexual Abuse, CNN (Feb. 5, 2018), https://www.cnn.com/2018/02/05/us/larry-nassar-sentence-eaton/index.html. |

pornography, and destroying and concealing evidence; 40 to 175 years in Ingham County, Michigan following a guilty plea to seven counts of criminal sexual conduct; and 40 to 125 years for crimes committed in Eaton County, Michigan. The Game Over Commission: CHILD USA's Database of the Institutional Failures Contributing to 82 Dr. Larry Nassar's Abuse of Youth Athletes, CHILDUSA.ORG (last visited Oct. 12, 2021), https:// gameover.childusa.org/. In re USA Gymnastics, Notice of Hearing To Approve Disclosure Statement and Plan Confirmation 83 Procedures, Case No. 18-09108-RLM-11 (Bankr. S. D. Ind. Aug. 31, 2021). In re Boy Scouts of America and Delaware BSA, LLC, Voluntary Petition, Case No. 20-10343 (Bankr. 84 D. Del. Feb. 18, 2020). Baker, M., Boy Scouts Seek Bankruptcy to Survive a Deluge of Sex-Abuse Claims, THE NEW YORK 85 TIMES (Feb. 18, 2020). https://www.nytimes.com/2020/02/18/us/boy-scouts-seek-bankruptcy-to-survive-a-deluge-of-sexabuse-claims.html. Goodwyn, W., Boy Scouts Of America Sexual Abuse Victims Seek Justice In Bankruptcy Court, 86 NATIONAL PUBLIC RADIO (Nov. 13, 2020) https://www.npr.org/2020/11/13/933924470/boy-scoutsof-america-sexual-abuse-victims-seek-justice-in-bankruptcy-court. In re Boy Scouts of America and Delaware BSA, LLC, Order (I) Approving The Disclosure Statement 87 And The Form And Manner Of Notice, (Ii) Approving Plan Solicitation And Voting Procedures, (Iii) Approving Forms Of Ballots, (Iv) Approving Form, Manner, And Scope Of Confirmation Notices, (V) Establishing Certain Deadlines In Connection With Approval Of The Disclosure Statement And Confirmation Of The Plan, And (Vi) Granting Related Relief, Case No. 20-10343 (Bankr. D. Del. Sept 30, 2021); see also Chutchian, M., Boy Scouts OK'd to poll sex abuse survivors on bankruptcy plan, REUTERS (Sept. 30, 2021) https://www.reuters.com/legal/transactional/boy-scouts-okd-poll-sex-abusesurvivors-bankruptcy-plan-2021-09-30/. In re Boy Scouts of America and Delaware BSA, LLC, Notice Of Filing Of Redline Of The Amended 88 Disclosure Statement For The Modified Fifth Amended Chapter 11 Plan Of Reorganization For Boy Scouts Of America And Delaware BSA, LLC, Case No. 20-10343 (Bankr. D. Del. Sept. 30, 2021). For example, a base payment for an "oral sex claim" ranges from \$2,383 to \$43,328, with abuse that occurred in Alabama, Kansas, Oklahoma, Puerto Rico, South Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming falling under the \$2,383 amount, and abuse that occurred in Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Guam, Hawaii, Louisiana, Maine, Montana, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina and Vermont falling under the \$43,328 amount. Hunter, S., Disclosure of child sexual abuse as a life-long process: Implications for health professionals, 89 32(2) AUSTRALIAN & NEW ZEALAND J. OF FAM. THERAPY 159, 164 (2011). 90 Rebecca Campbell, Ph.D., The Neurobiology of Sexual Assault: Explaining Effects the Brain, NAT'L INST. OF JUSTICE (2012), available at https://upc.utah.gov/ materials/2014Materials/2014sexualAssault/TonicImmobilityWebinar.pdf; R.L. v. Voytac, 199 N.J. 285, 971 A.2d 1074 (2009); BESSEL A. VAN DER KOLK M.D., ET AL., TRAUMATIC STRESS: THE EFFECTS OF OVERWHELMING EXPERIENCE ON MIND, BODY, AND SOCIETY (2006). See Also, MARY-ELLEN PIPE, et. al., CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE: DISCLOSURE, DELAY, AND DENIAL 32 (2013). 91 ACE Study; see also Felitti, et al., Relationship of Childhood Abuse and Household Dysfunction to Many of the Leading Causes of Death in Adults: The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study, 14(4) AM. J. PREV. MED. 245 (1998); S.R. Dube et al., Childhood Abuse, Household Dysfunction, and the Risk of Attempted Suicide Throughout the Life Span: Findings from the Adverse Childhood Experiences Study, 286 JAMA 24, 3089 (Dec. 2001) (explaining that childhood trauma can lead to negative health outcomes). 92 See, e.g., Felitti, at 245-58; R. Anda, et al., The Enduring Effects of Abuse and Related Adverse Experiences in Childhood, 256 EUR. ARACH PSYCHIATRY CLIN. NEUROSCIENCE 174, 175 (Nov. 2005) ("Numerous studies have established that childhood stressors such as abuse or witnessing domestic violence can lead to a variety of negative health outcomes and behaviors, such as substance abuse, suicide attempts, and depressive disorders"); See Merricka; see also Sachs-Ericsson, et al., A Review of Childhood Abuse, Health, and Pain- Related Problems: The Role of Psychiatric Disorders and Current Life Stress, 10(2) J. TRAUMA & DISSOCIATION 170, 171 (2009) (explaining that adult survivors are thirty percent more likely to develop serious medical conditions such as cancer, diabetes, high blood pressure, stroke, and heart disease); T.L. Simpson, et al., Concomitance between childhood sexual and

Nassar was sentenced to 20 years for three counts of receiving child pornography, possessing child

| | physical abuse and substance use problems: A review, 22 CLINICAL PSYCHOL. REV., 27 (2002) |
|----------------|---|
| | (finding that adult survivors of child sexual abuse are nearly three times as likely to report substance |
| | abuse problems than their non-survivor peers). |
| 93 | Fang, et al., The Economic Burden of Child Maltreatment in the United States & Implications for |
| | Prevention, 36 CHILD ABUSE & NEGLECT 156 (2012) (explaining that the estimated average lifetime |
| | cost per victim of nonfatal child sexual abuse includes, in part: \$32,648 in childhood health care costs, |
| | \$10,530 in adult medical costs, \$144,360 in productivity losses, \$7,728 in child welfare costs, \$6,747 in criminal justice costs, \$7,999 in special education costs; the estimated average lifetime cost per death |
| | includes: \$14,100 in medical costs, and \$1,258,800 in productivity losses). |
| 94 | The foundations of trauma-informed care are safety, trustworthiness, choice, collaboration, and |
|) 1 | empowerment. Maxine Harris & Roger D. Fallot, Using Trauma Theory to Design Service Systems: |
| | New Directions for Mental Health Services 89 (Spring 2001); Katherine Swanson, Providing Trauma- |
| | Informed Legal Services 42 A.P.R. LALAW 15 (2019). |
| 95 | Ed Finkel, The Traumatized Client, 108 III. Bar J. 25, 25 (Mar. 2020). |
| 96 | Finkel, 108 Ill. Bar J. at 27. |
| 97 | Juan Martinez, Sexual Abuse & Bankruptcy: How Organizations Abuse Chapter 11 to Avoid Victims' |
| | Demands for Answers, 37:1 EMORY BANK. DEV'TS. J. 214, 220–21 (2020). |
| 98 | Martinez, 37:1 EMORY BANK. DEV'TS. J. at 221. |
| 99 | The Permissibility of Chapter 11 Non-Debtor Release Provisions, 7 ST. JOHN'S BANKR. RESEARCH |
| | LIBR. NO. 16 (2015). |
| 100 | See generally Gamble, E., Nondebtor Releases in Chapter 11 Reorganizations: A Limited Power, 38 |
| 101 | FORDHAM URB. L.J. 821 (March 2011). |
| 101 | In re Boy Scouts of America and Delaware BSA, LLC, Fifth Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization for Pay Scouts of America and Delaware BSA, LLC, Case No. 20, 110343 (Bankr, D. Del Sont, 15, 2021) |
| 102 | for Boy Scouts of America and Delaware BSA, LLC, Case No. 20-110343 (Bankr. D. Del. Sept. 15, 2021) Boy Scouts Fifth Amended Chapter 11 Plan. |
| 102 | In re Boy Scouts of America and Delaware BSA, LLC, Motion Of Official Committee Of Tort |
| 103 | Claimants For Entry Of An Order Terminating The Debtors' Exclusive Periods To File A Plan |
| | And Solicit Acceptances Thereof Pursuant To Section 1121 Of The Bankruptcy Code, Case No. |
| | 20-110343 (Bankr. D. Del. Sept. 15, 2021); see also Chase, R., Committee Wants to File Own Plan |
| | in Boy Scouts Bankruptcy, ASSOCIATED PRESS (Sept. 15, 2021) https://www.usnews.com/news/us/ |
| | articles/2021-09-15/committee-wants-to-file-own-plan-in-boy-scouts-bankruptcy. |
| 104 | In re USA Gymnastics, Chapter 11 Plan Of Reorganization Proposed By USA Gymnastics, Case No. |
| | 18-09108-RLM-11 (Bankr. S.D. Ind. Jan. 30, 2021). |
| 105 | USA Gymnastics Chapter 11 Plan. |
| 106 | Graves, W. Nassar survivors offered \$215 million settlement by USA Gymnastics, AP NEWS (Jan. 30, |
| 107 | 2020) https://apnews.com/article/c910ae90a6357a5b376a63170cc0b8b0. Nassar survivors offered \$215 million settlement. |
| 107 | |
| 108 | Martinez, J., Sexual Abuse and Bankruptcy: How Organizations Abuse Chapter 11 to Avoid Victims' Demands for Answers, 37 Emory Bankr. Dev. J. 213 (2020) (citing USA Gymnastics: Judge Says Nassar |
| | Settlement is Beneficial, TROUBLED CO. REP. (Aug. 17, 2020)). |
| 109 | In re USA Gymnastics, Joint Chapter 11 Plan Of Reorganization Proposed By USA Gymnastics And The |
| 10) | Additional Tort Claimants Committee Of Sexual Abuse Survivors, Case No. 18-09108-RLM-11 (Bankr. |
| | S.D. Ind. Aug. 31, 2021). |
| 110 | USA Gymnastics Joint Chapter 11 Plan. |
| 111 | USA Gymnastics Joint Chapter 11 Plan. |
| 112 | USA Gymnastics Joint Chapter 11 Plan. |
| 113 | Coco, D., Third-Party Bankruptcy Releases: An Analysis of Consent Through the Lenses of Due Process |
| | and Contract Law, 88 FDMLR 231 (Oct. 2019) (citing In re Metromedia Fiber Network, Inc., 416 |
| | F.3d 136, 143, 44 Bankr. Ct. Dec. (CRR) 276, 54 Collier Bankr. Cas. 2d (MB) 1033, Bankr. L. Rep. |
| | |
| | (CCH) P 80397 (2d Cir. 2005); In re Continental Airlines, 203 F.3d 203, 212–14, 35 Bankr. Ct. Dec. |
| | (CRR) 176 (3d Cir. 2000); In re A.H. Robins Co., Inc., 880 F.2d 694, 702, 19 Bankr. Ct. Dec. (CRR) |
| | 997, Bankr. L. Rep. (CCH) P 72955 (4th Cir. 1989); Matter of Specialty Equipment Companies, Inc., |
| | 3 F.3d 1043, 1047, 29 Collier Bankr. Cas. 2d (MB) 1215, Bankr. L. Rep. (CCH) P 75398 (7th Cir. 1993); |

| 114 | In re Seaside Engineering & Surveying, Inc., 780 F.3d 1070, 1079, 60 Bankr. Ct. Dec. (CRR) 212, 73 Collier Bankr. Cas. 2d (MB) 605, Bankr. L. Rep. (CCH) P 82783 (11th Cir. 2015)). Coco, D., Third-Party Bankruptcy Releases: An Analysis of Consent Through the Lenses of Due Process |
|------------|--|
| | and Contract Law, 88 FDMLR 231 (Oct. 2019) (citing In re Pacific Lumber Co., 584 F.3d 229, 252, |
| | 52 Bankr. Ct. Dec. (CRR) 46, Bankr. L. Rep. (CCH) P 81642 (5th Cir. 2009); In re Lowenschuss, 67 F.3d 1394, 1401–02, 34 Collier Bankr. Cas. 2d (MB) 544, Bankr. L. Rep. (CCH) P 76673, 33 Fed. |
| | R. Serv. 3d 249 (9th Cir. 1995); In re American Hardwoods, Inc., 885 F.2d 621, 626, 19 Bankr. Ct. |
| | Dec. (CRR) 1354, Bankr. L. Rep. (CCH) P 73130 (9th Cir. 1989); Underhill v. Royal, 769 F.2d 1426, 1432, 13 Collier Bankr. Cas. 2d (MB) 1198, Bankr. L. Rep. (CCH) P 70718, Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) P |
| | 92280 (9th Cir. 1985) (rejected by, Reves v. Ernst & Young, 494 U.S. 56, 110 S. Ct. 945, 108 L. Ed. |
| | 2d 47, Blue Sky L. Rep. (CCH) P 73213, Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) P 94939 (1990)); In re Western Real Estate Fund, Inc., 922 F.2d 592, 600, 21 Bankr. Ct. Dec. (CRR) 320, 24 Collier Bankr. Cas. 2d |
| | (MB) 1012, Bankr. L. Rep. (CCH) P 73754 (10th Cir. 1990), opinion modified, 932 F.2d 898 (10th |
| | Cir. 1991); In re Midway Gold US, Inc., 575 B.R. 475, 505–06 (Bankr. D. Colo. 2017). |
| 115 | In re Master Mortg. Inv. Fund, Inc., 168 B.R. 930, 937, 31 Collier Bankr. Cas. 2d (MB) 240 (Bankr. W.D. Mo. 1994). |
| 116 | In re Zenith Electronics Corp., 241 B.R. 92, 110, 35 Bankr. Ct. Dec. (CRR) 329, 43 Collier Bankr. Cas. 2d (MB) 206, 53 Fed. R. Evid. Serv. 523 (Bankr. D. Del. 1999). |
| 117 | In re Zenith Electronics Corp., 241 B.R. at 110; In re Dow Corning Corp., 280 F.3d 648, 658, 39 Bankr. Ct. Dec. (CRR) 9, 47 Collier Bankr. Cas. 2d (MB) 1158, Bankr. L. Rep. (CCH) P 78582, 2002 Fed. App. 0043P (6th Cir. 2002) (rejected by, In re PTI Holding Corp., 346 B.R. 820 (Bankr. D. Nev. 2006)) (adopting the same <i>Zenith</i> factors and adding "(6) The plan provides an opportunity for those claimants who choose not to settle to recover in full and; (7) The bankruptcy court made a record of specific factual findings that support its conclusions"). |
| 118 | In re Metromedia Fiber Network, Inc., 416 F.3d 136, 142, 44 Bankr. Ct. Dec. (CRR) 276, 54 Collier Bankr. Cas. 2d (MB) 1033, Bankr. L. Rep. (CCH) P 80397 (2d Cir. 2005). |
| 119 | In re Roman Catholic Diocese of Syracuse, New York, 628 B.R. 571, 575 (Bankr. N.D. N.Y. 2021). |
| 120 | U.S. Dep't Health & Human Services, The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) Study CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL & PREVENTION (1997) available at https://www.cdc.gov/ |
| | violenceprevention/childabuseandneglect/acestudy/; see also, U.S. Dep't of Health and Human Services Administration for Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, and Children's Bureau, CHILD MALTREATMENT 2017, available at https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/ |
| 121 | violenceprevention/childabuseandneglect/acestudy/; see also, U.S. Dep't of Health and Human Services Administration for Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, and |
| 121 | violenceprevention/childabuseandneglect/acestudy/; see also, U.S. Dep't of Health and Human Services Administration for Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, and Children's Bureau, CHILD MALTREATMENT 2017, available at https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/cm2017.pdf. See CHILD USA, Delayed Disclosure: A Factsheet Based on Cutting-Edge Research on Child Sex Abuse, CHILDUSA.ORG, 3 (Mar. 2020) available at https://childusa.org/wpcontent/uploads/2020/04/Delayed-Disclosure-Factsheet-2020.pdf (citing N. Spröber et. al., Child sexual abuse in religiously affiliated and secular institutions, 14 BMC PUB. HEALTH 282, 282 (2014)). N. Spröber et al., Child sexual abuse in religiously affiliated and secular institutions, 14 BMC PUB. |
| | violenceprevention/childabuseandneglect/acestudy/; see also, U.S. Dep't of Health and Human Services Administration for Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, and Children's Bureau, CHILD MALTREATMENT 2017, available at https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/cm2017.pdf. See CHILD USA, Delayed Disclosure: A Factsheet Based on Cutting-Edge Research on Child Sex Abuse, CHILDUSA.ORG, 3 (Mar. 2020) available at https://childusa.org/wpcontent/uploads/2020/04/Delayed-Disclosure-Factsheet-2020.pdf (citing N. Spröber et. al., Child sexual abuse in religiously affiliated and secular institutions, 14 BMC PUB. HEALTH 282, 282 (2014)). N. Spröber et al., Child sexual abuse in religiously affiliated and secular institutions, 14 BMC PUB. HEALTH 282, 282 (Mar. 27, 2014). MARCI A. HAMILTON, JUSTICE DENIED: WHAT AMERICA MUST DO TO PROTECT ITS CHILDREN (Cambridge University Press 2008, 2012); see also CHILD USA, Child Sex Abuse Statute |
| 122 | violenceprevention/childabuseandneglect/acestudy/; see also, U.S. Dep't of Health and Human Services Administration for Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, and Children's Bureau, CHILD MALTREATMENT 2017, available at https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/cm2017.pdf. See CHILD USA, Delayed Disclosure: A Factsheet Based on Cutting-Edge Research on Child Sex Abuse, CHILDUSA.ORG, 3 (Mar. 2020) available at https://childusa.org/wpcontent/uploads/2020/04/Delayed-Disclosure-Factsheet-2020.pdf (citing N. Spröber et. al., Child sexual abuse in religiously affiliated and secular institutions, 14 BMC PUB. HEALTH 282, 282 (2014)). N. Spröber et al., Child sexual abuse in religiously affiliated and secular institutions, 14 BMC PUB. HEALTH 282, 282 (Mar. 27, 2014). MARCI A. HAMILTON, JUSTICE DENIED: WHAT AMERICA MUST DO TO PROTECT ITS CHILDREN (Cambridge University Press 2008, 2012); see also CHILD USA, Child Sex Abuse Statute of Limitations Reform (last visited Oct. 12, 2021) available at https://childusa.org/sol/. In re Gymnastics, Motion to Allow Late Filed Claim to be Treated as Timely Filed, Case No. 18-09108-RLM-11 (Bankr. S. D. Ind. July 30, 2020); Humphrey v. U.S. Gymnastics, Case No. 1:20-cv-02522- |
| 122 123 | violenceprevention/childabuseandneglect/accestudy/; see also, U.S. Dep't of Health and Human Services Administration for Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, and Children's Bureau, CHILD MALTREATMENT 2017, available at https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/cm2017.pdf. See CHILD USA, Delayed Disclosure: A Factsheet Based on Cutting-Edge Research on Child Sex Abuse, CHILDUSA.ORG, 3 (Mar. 2020) available at https://childusa.org/wpcontent/uploads/2020/04/Delayed-Disclosure-Factsheet-2020.pdf (citing N. Spröber et. al., Child sexual abuse in religiously affiliated and secular institutions, 14 BMC PUB. HEALTH 282, 282 (2014)). N. Spröber et al., Child sexual abuse in religiously affiliated and secular institutions, 14 BMC PUB. HEALTH 282, 282 (Mar. 27, 2014). MARCI A. HAMILTON, JUSTICE DENIED: WHAT AMERICA MUST DO TO PROTECT ITS CHILDREN (Cambridge University Press 2008, 2012); see also CHILD USA, Child Sex Abuse Statute of Limitations Reform (last visited Oct. 12, 2021) available at https://childusa.org/sol/. In re Gymnastics, Motion to Allow Late Filed Claim to be Treated as Timely Filed, Case No. 18-09108- |

at https://childusa.org/law/.

```
127
                     VA. CODE ANN. § 8.01-249.
                     Transcript of Record at 11, In re USA Gymnastics, Debtor, United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern
128
                     District of Indiana (No. 1809108-RLM-11).
129
                     VA. CODE ANN. § 8.01-243.
                     In re USA Gymnastics, Order Approving Debtor's Motion For Order Establishing Deadlines For Filing
130
                     Proofs Of Claim And Approving Form And Manner Of Notice Thereof, Case No. 18-09108-RLM-11
                     (Bankr.S.D. Ind. Feb. 25, 2019).
                     Motion to Allow Late Filed Claim, supra note 124.
131
                     Humphrey v. USA Gymnastics, Order on Bankruptcy Appeal, Case No. 1:20-cv-02522-SEB-MG (S.D.
132
                     Ind. Sept. 17, 2020). The bankruptcy court heard and denied the motion on August 26, 2020.
                     Reid, S.M., Court rejects Terin Humphrey bid to join Nassar case, citing missed filing deadline,
133
                     THE ORANGE COUNTY REGISTER (Aug. 26, 2020) https://www.ocregister.com/2020/08/26/court-
                     rejects-terin-humphrey-bid-to-join-nassar-case-citing-missed-filing-deadline/.
                     Court rejects Terin Humphrey bid.
134
135
                     Printz v. U.S., 521 U.S. 898, 911, 117 S. Ct. 2365, 2373, 138 L. Ed. 2d 914 (1997); U.S. v. Lopez,
                     514 U.S. 549, 551, 115 S. Ct. 1624, 131 L. Ed. 2d 626, 99 Ed. Law Rep. 24 (1995).
                     U.S. CONST. art. I, § 8, cls. 1, 4.
136
                     U.S. CONST. Amend. X.
137
138
                        Printz, 521 U.S., at 919 (citing The Federalist No. 39, at 245 (J. Madison).
139
                        Egelhoff v. Egelhoff ex rel. Breiner, 532 U.S. 141, 151, 121 S. Ct. 1322, 149 L. Ed. 2d 264, 25
                     Employee Benefits Cas. (BNA) 2089 (2001).
140
                        Medtronic, Inc. v. Lohr, 518 U.S. 470, 485, 116 S. Ct. 2240, 135 L. Ed. 2d 700, Prod. Liab. Rep.
                     (CCH) P 14634, 29 U.C.C. Rep. Serv. 2d 1077 (1996).
141
                     Freeman v. Commonwealth, 223 Va. 301, 309, 288 S.E.2d 461, 465, 8 Media L. Rep. (BNA) 1340
                     (1982); see also Caswell v. Lang, 757 F.2d 608, 610, 12 Bankr. Ct. Dec. (CRR) 1279, 12 Collier
                     Bankr. Cas. 2d (MB) 701, Bankr. L. Rep. (CCH) P 70368 (4th Cir. 1985) ("Virginia has a strong and
                     compelling interest in protecting the welfare of its dependent citizens); Turner Communications Corp. v.
                     Georgia Dept. of Transp., 139 Ga. App. 436, 228 S.E.2d 399, 404 (1976) ("[T]he protection of children
                     from harm, whether moral, emotional, mental, or physical, is a valid and compelling state interest");
                     Nkopchieu v. Minlend, 59 Va. App. 299, 309, 718 S.E.2d 470 (2011); Commonwealth v. Simone, 63 Va.
                     Cir. 216, 2003 WL 22994238 (2003).
142
                        Mathews v. Eldridge, 424 U.S. 319, 332, 96 S. Ct. 893, 901, 47 L. Ed. 2d 18 (1976).
                     CHILD USA, Current Laws for Child Protection, CHILDUSA.ORG (last visited October 12, 2021),
143
                     available at https://childusa.org/law/.
                     In re Gymnastics, Motion to Allow Late Filed Claim to be Treated as Timely Filed, Case No. 18-09108-
144
                     RLM-11 (Bankr. S. D. Ind. July 30, 2020).
                     In re Gymnastics, at 4.
145
                     In re Gymnastics, at 4.
146
                     In re Gymnastics, at 6.
147
148
                     In re Gymnastics, at 12.
149
                     11 U.S.C.A. § 362(a)(1)
                     Zwick Partners, LP v. Quorum Health Corp., 456 F. Supp. 3d 949, 951 (M.D. Tenn. 2020).
150
151
                     11 U.S.C.A. § 362(c)(2)
152
                        11 U.S.C.A. § 362(b)(2) ("The filing of a petition under section 301, 302, or 303 of this title, or of
                     an application under section 5(a)(3) of the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970, does not operate
                     as a stav-
                     (2) under subsection (a)—(A)of the commencement or continuation of a civil action or proceeding—
```

(i) for the establishment of paternity; (ii) for the establishment or modification of an order for domestic

support obligations;

| | (iii) concerning child custody or visitation; (iv) for the dissolution of a marriage, except to the extent that such proceeding seeks to determine the division of property that is property of the estate; or (v) regarding domestic violence"). |
|-----|--|
| 153 | In re The Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego, Bankruptcy Petition #: 07-00939-LA11, Case No. 3:07-bk-00939 (Bankr. S.D. San Diego, Feb. 27, 2007). |
| 154 | San Diego Roman Catholic Diocese Files for Bankruptcy, THE NEW YORK TIMES (Feb. 28, 2007), https://www.nytimes.com/2007/02/28/world/americas/28iht-church.4748594.html. |
| 155 | Archibald, R.C., San Diego Diocese Settles Lawsuit for \$200 Million, THE NEW YORK TIMES (Sept. 8, 2007), https://www.nytimes.com/2007/09/08/us/08church.html |
| 156 | In re Roman Catholic Diocese of Harrisburg, Case No. 20-599, (Bankr. M.D. Penn. Feb. 19, 2020). |
| 157 | Levenson, Michael, Pennsylvania Diocese, Facing More Abuse Claims, Files for Bankruptcy, THE NEW YORK TIMES (Feb. 19, 2020), https://www.nytimes.com/2020/02/19/us/pennsylvania-church-sexual-abuse-victims.html |
| 158 | Pennsylvania Diocese Files for Bankruptcy. |
| 159 | In re USA Gymnastics, Chaper 11 Involuntary Petition (Non-Individual), Case No. 1:18-bk-09108 (Bankr. S.D. Ind. Dec. 5, 2018). |
| 160 | Macur, J., As Gymnasts Who Were Abused Seek Answers, They Are Offered Only Money, THE NEW YORK TIMES (March 3, 2020), https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/03/sports/olympics/bilesgymnastics-settlement-nassar.html. |
| 161 | In re USA Gymnastics, Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization Proposed by USA Gymnastics, Case No. 1:18-bk-09108 (Bankr. S.D. Ind. Dec. 5, 2018). |
| 162 | In re USA Gymnastics, Chapter 11 Plan. |
| 163 | Clark, L. USA Gymnastics offers \$215 million settlement to Larry Nassar victims, THE WASHINGTON POST (Jan. 30, 2020) https://www.washingtonpost.com/sports/2020/01/30/usa-gymnastics-offers-215-million-settlement-larry-nassar-victims/. |
| 164 | USA Gymnastics offers \$215 million settlement. |
| 165 | Wolf, A., Olympic Committee Must Answer Specific Gymnast Abuse Questions, BLOOMBERG LAW (June 17, 2020) https://news.bloomberglaw.com/bankruptcy-law/olympic-committee-must-answer-specific-gymnast-abuse-questions. |
| 166 | In re USA Gymnastics, The Additional Tort Claimants Committee Of Sexual Abuse Survivors' Motion For An Order Pursuant To Bankruptcy Rule 2004 Directing Production Of Documents And Materials From The United States Olympic Committee, Case No. 1:18-bk-09108 (Bankr. S.D. Ind. Dec. 5, 2018). |
| 167 | In re USA Gymnastics, Objection Of The National Gymnastics Foundation To The Additional Tort Claimants Committee Of Sexual Abuse Survivors' Motion Pursuant To Rule 2004 Directing Production Of Documents And Materials, Case No. 1:18-bk-09108 (Bankr. S.D. Ind. Dec. 5, 2018). |
| 168 | In re USA Gymnastics, In re USA Gymnastics, Order on Motion for Protective Order Filed by the USOPC, Case No. 1:18-bk-09108 (Bankr. S.D. Ind. Dec. 5, 2018)., Case No. 1:18-bk-09108 (Bankr. S.D. Ind. Dec. 5, 2018). |
| 169 | Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1007(b) |
| 170 | 11 U.S.C.A. §§ 1121, 1125. |
| 171 | 11 U.S.C.A. § 1125. See also Chapter 11- Bankruptcy Basics, UNITED STATES COURTS, https://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/bankruptcy/bankruptcy-basics/chapter-11-bankruptcy-basics. |
| 172 | In re Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego, 374 B.R. 756, 48 Bankr. Ct. Dec. (CRR) 208, 58 Collier Bankr. Cas. 2d (MB) 947 (Bankr. S.D. Cal. 2007); Archibad, R.C., San Diego Diocese Settles Lawsuit for \$200 Million, THE NEW YORK TIMES (Sept. 7, 2007) http://www.nytimes.com/2007/09/08/us/08church.html?_r=2&ref=us&oref=slogin&oref=slogin. |
| 173 | In re Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego, 374 B.R. 756, 48 Bankr. Ct. Dec. (CRR) 208, 58 Collier Bankr. Cas. 2d (MB) 947 (Bankr. S.D. Cal. 2007). |
| 174 | In re Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego, First Report of Expert R. Todd Neilson. |
| 175 | In re Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego, First Report of Expert R. Todd Neilson, at 53. |
| 176 | In re Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego, 374 B.R. 756, 48 Bankr. Ct. Dec. (CRR) 208, 58 Collier Bankr. Cas. 2d (MB) 947 (Bankr. S.D. Cal. 2007). The bankruptcy court cites numerous grounds for the motion as detailed by the expert, including the following: (1) the Cash Management Order of the Court was not being followed (parishes were not abiding by the diocese handbook in keeping accurate records, some parishes were "actively and deliberately" hiding assets from the diocese); (2) the diocese |

| | schedules); (3) the diocese had "persisted in reporting its assets at assessed valuation, rather than fair market value as required by all debtors in bankruptcy proceedings"; (4) the diocese "failed to disclose |
|-----|---|
| | material facts to the Court with respect to the operation of its cash management system when it sought |
| | expedited approval to continue use of this system in its First Day Motion." |
| 177 | In re Roman Catholic Bishop of San Diego, 374 B.R. 756, 48 Bankr. Ct. Dec. (CRR) 208, 58 Collier Bankr. Cas. 2d (MB) 947 (Bankr. S.D. Cal. 2007). |
| 178 | Bankruptcy Judge Rips San Diego Diocese, CATHOLIC WORLD NEWS (Nov. 2, 2007) https://www.bishop-accountability.org/news2007/11_12/2007_11_02_CatholicWorldNews_BankruptcyJudge.htm.; see also Dolbee, S. & |
| | Sauer, M., Judge's Tears, Rebuke Case Closed, UNION-TRIBUNE (Nov. 2, 2007) http://www.signonsandiego.com/news/metro/20071102-9999-1n2diocese.html. |
| 179 | Judge's Tears, Rebuke Case Closed. |
| 180 | Dolbee, supra note 177. |
| 181 | Saul, J., Catholic Church Shields \$2 Billion in Assets to Limit Abuse Payouts, BLOOMBERG BUSINESSWEEK (Jan. 8, 2020) https://www.bloomberg.com/news/features/2020-01-08/the-catholic-church-s-strategy-to-limit-payouts-to-abuse-victims. |
| 182 | Catholic Church Shields \$2 Billion in Assets. |
| 183 | Catholic Church Shields \$2 Billion in Assets. |
| 184 | Catholic Church Shields \$2 Billion in Assets. |
| 185 | In re Archdiocese of Saint Paul and Minneapolis, 888 F.3d 944, 65 Bankr. Ct. Dec. (CRR) 153, Bankr. L. Rep. (CCH) P 83244 (8th Cir. 2018). "Substantive consolidation is an equitable remedy grounded in the |
| | broad powers of 11 U.S.C. § 105(a), which allows the court to 'issue any order, process, or judgment |
| | that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions' of the Bankruptcy Code. 11 U.S.C. § |
| 196 | 105(a); see also Sampsell v. Imperial Paper & Color Corp., 313 U.S. 215, 218–20, 61 S. Ct. 904, 85 L. Ed. 1293 (1941) (granting indirect approval for the remedy known today as a substantive consolidation). "Substantive consolidation allows the court, in appropriate situations, to expand the definition of the debtor's bankruptcy estate to include additional assets also within debtor's possession and control." In re Archdiocese of Saint Paul & Minneapolis, 888 F.3d at 953. |
| 186 | |
| 187 | In re Archdiocese of Saint Paul & Minneapolis, 888 F.3d at 954. |
| 188 | The Nondebtor Release Prohibition Act of 2021, S.B. 2497, 117th Cong. (2021). See also Press Release, Warren, Nadler, Durbin, Blumenthal, Maloney Announce Legislation to Eliminate Non-Debtor Releases, Prevent Corporations and Private Entities From Escaping Accountability In Bankruptcy Proceedings (July 28, 2021), available at https://www.warren.senate.gov/newsroom/press-releases/warren-nadler-durbin-blumenthal-maloney-announce-legislation-to-eliminate-non-debtor-releases-prevent-corporations-and-private-entities-from-escaping-accountability-in-bankruptcy-proceedings. |
| 189 | The Nondebtor Release Prohibition Act of 2021. |
| 190 | The Nondebtor Release Prohibition Act of 2021. |
| 191 | The Nondebtor Release Prohibition Act of 2021. |
| 192 | The Nondebtor Release Prohibition Act of 2021. |
| 193 | The Nondebtor Release Prohibition Act of 2021. |
| 194 | Arendsen, K. & Morrison, P., Congress Proposes Significant Bankruptcy Code Changes to Protect |
| 17. | Tort Claimants and Creditors, But What are the Real Consequences?, THE NATIONAL L. REV. (Aug. 11, 2021) https://www.natlawreview.com/article/congress-proposes-significant-bankruptcy-code-changes-to-protect-tort-claimants-and. |
| 195 | Congress Proposes Significant Bankruptcy Code Changes. In the circuits that permit these releases, the courts consider the factors initially detailed in In re Master Mortgage Investment Fund, Inc. and adopted in In re Zenith Electronics Corp., which require: "(1) an identity of interest between the debtor and the released party; (2) a "substantial contribution" to the reorganization made by the released party; (3) the essential nature of the injunction to the reorganization |
| | to the extent that without the injunction them in little liberal of me injunction to the reorganization |

to the extent that, without the injunction, there is little likelihood of success; (4) an agreement by a

had failed to properly account for all of its property (land held in the name of the diocese or a parish was not accounted for, bank accounts had not been fully disclosed on the diocese's scheduled or amended

| 196 | substantial majority of creditors to support the injunction, specifically if the impacted class or classes "overwhelmingly" votes to accept the plan; and (5) provision in the plan for all or substantially all of the claims of the class affected by the injunction." Congress Proposes Significant Bankruptcy Code Changes. |
|-----|---|
| 197 | See generally History of US SOL Reform: 2002-2020, CHILDUSA.ORG (Feb. 26, 2021), https://childusa.org/2020-sol-report/. |
| 198 | 11 U.S.C.A. § 1141(d)(1). Senate Report No. 95-989 |
| 199 | 11 U.S.C.A. § 1141(d)(2), (3). |
| 200 | See CHILD USA, Letter to the Advisory Committee on Bankruptcy Rules, Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure, Judicial Conference of the United States Re: Reform Needed to Protect Victims of Child Sex Abuse in Federal Bankruptcy Courts, CHILDUSA.ORG (Aug. 21, 2021), available at https://childusa.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/2021-08-24-SCOTUS-Bankruptcy-Letter.pdf. |

End of Document

© 2021 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.