



TO: Honorable Steve Yeager, Chair, Honorable Rochelle T. Nguyen, Vice Chair, and

Honorable Members of the Assembly Judiciary Committee

FROM: Marci Hamilton, Founder & CEO, CHILD USA; Professor, University of

Pennsylvania, and Kathryn Robb, Executive Director, CHILD USAdvocacy

RE: Nevada SB 203, Revises provisions relating to civil actions involving certain sexual

offenses

DATE: April 27, 2021

Dear Honorable Members of the Assembly Judiciary Committee,

Thank you for allowing us, Professor Marci Hamilton of CHILD USA and Kathryn Robb of CHILD USAdvocacy, to submit testimony regarding SB 203, which will increase access to justice for victims of child sexual abuse and enhance protection for children in Nevada. If passed, this legislation will make Nevada a leader in the fight to protect children.

By way of introduction, Marci Hamilton is the Founder, CEO, and Legal Director of CHILD USA, an interdisciplinary think tank dedicated to the prevention of child abuse and neglect at the University of Pennsylvania, where she is a Professor. She authored *Justice Denied: What America Must Do to Protect Its Children* (Cambridge University Press 2008, 2012), which makes the case for statute of limitations (SOL) reform in the child sex abuse arena, and is the premier expert on the history and constitutionality of SOL reform.

CHILD USA is the leading nonprofit think tank dedicated to the prevention of child abuse and neglect. It is also the leader in the field of SOL reform, and the only organization to track child sex abuse SOLs in every state, D.C., and the federal government.

Kathryn Robb is the Executive Director of CHILD USAdvocacy, a 501(c)(4) advocacy organization dedicated to protecting children's civil liberties and keeping children safe from abuse and neglect. CHILD USAdvocacy draws on the combined expertise of the nation's leading experts and child advocates, specifically its sister organization, CHILD USA. Kathryn is also a survivor of child sexual abuse.

We commend you and the Committee for taking up SB 203, which would eliminate the civil statute of limitations for child sexual abuse and revive all expired civil claims for abuse.



I. Delayed Disclosure Science Supports SOL Reform for Child Sex Abuse

There is a worldwide epidemic of child sex abuse, with at least **one in five girls and one in thirteen boys sexually assaulted before they turn 18.** Child sexual abuse is a social issue that occurs in all social groups and institutions—families, religious groups, youth-serving organizations, athletic institutions, etc.—and it affects everybody involved in these groups. Importantly, these groups are not mutually exclusive, and perpetrators always inhabit multiple roles within these various social groups. As a result, the overwhelming majority of perpetrators of child sex abuse are someone the child knows and most abuse occurs in the family setting.



The trauma stemming from child sexual abuse is complex and individualized, and it impacts victims throughout their lifetimes. There is an overwhelming body of science exposing the ways in which the trauma of sexual abuse during childhood impacts memory formation and the repression of memories.ⁱⁱ It is now settled that PTSD, memory deficits, and complete disassociation are common coping mechanisms for child victims.ⁱⁱⁱ

Trauma is only one of the barriers preventing children from disclosing abuse. "Among other barriers, children often lack the knowledge needed to recognize sexual abuse, lack the ability to articulate that they have been abused, don't have an adult they can disclose their abuse to, don't have opportunities to disclose abuse, and aren't believed when they try to disclose." Studies suggest that many victims, as much as 33%, never tell anyone they were abused. The disclosure of child sexual abuse is a process and not a discrete event in which a victim comes to terms with their abuse. Often this happens in the context of therapy; sometimes it is triggered many years after the abuse by an event the victim associates with the abuse; other times it happens gradually and over time as a victim recovers their memory. Vi

In fact, the average age of disclosure of child sexual abuse in a study of 1,000 victims was 52 years-old. Yet, until recently, many states blocked criminal charges and civil lawsuits well before age 52. By the time most victims were ready to come forward, the courthouse doors were locked, shutting victims out of justice.







The Sean P. McIlmail Statute of Limitations Research Institute at CHILD USA

It is a medical fact that victims of child sex abuse often need decades to come forward. They are traumatized from the abuse, incapable of processing what happened to them, and often dependent on the adults who perpetrated or caused the abuse. Short SOLs for child sex abuse play into the hands of the perpetrators and the institutions that cover up for them; they disable victims' voices and empowerment.

II. SOL Reform Serves the Public Good by Increasing Victims' Access to Justice and Preventing Future Abuse

Statutes of limitations, or SOLs, are judicial housekeeping rules: they set the deadline for pressing criminal charges or filing a civil lawsuit. An SOL is an arbitrary and technical legal rule that has prevented victims from obtaining justice and naming their perpetrators publicly for fear of retaliation. There are untold numbers of hidden child predators in Nevada who are preying on one child after another because the existing SOLs provide that opportunity. By opening a window and removing the time limit for civil lawsuits, access to justice for past victims will be available; this will also greatly reduce the present danger to the children of Nevada.

There are three compelling public purposes served by child sex abuse SOL reform:

- 1) SOL reform **identifies hidden child predators and the institutions** that allowed the abuse to the public so children will not be abused in the future;
- 2) It shifts the cost of abuse from the victims and society to those that caused it; and
- 3) It **educates the public** about the prevalence and harm from child sex abuse to prevent future abuse.

HOW STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS REFORM HELPS EVERYONE



Identifies previously unknown predators and institutions responsible

to the public, shielding other children from future abuse.



Shifts the cost of abuse

from the victims and society to the perpetrators and the institutions that enabled them.



Educates the public

about the prevalence and harm from child sex abuse so that families and the legal system can prevent abuse.





The Sean P. McIlmail Statut of Limitations Research Institute at CHILD USA

SOL reform, and window laws in particular, validate victims and shift the cost of abuse from victims and the public to the perpetrators and enabling institutions, placing them on notice that the state no longer stands with them - but with their victims.

Historically, a wall of ignorance and secrecy has been constructed around child sex abuse, which has been reinforced by short SOLs that kept victims out of the legal system. Perpetrators and institutions have benefitted from short SOLs and until recently, most states, have shut down most cases. That is a major reason we knew so little about the epidemic of child sex abuse.

Yet, it is in society's interest to have sex abuse survivors identify hidden child predators to the public—whenever the survivor is ready. By allowing claims for past abuse to be brought to court, hidden predators are brought into the light and are prevented from further abusing more children. This is especially important because predators have many victims and abuse into their elderly years. As well as providing already-existing victims of abuse a path to justice, SOL reform protects society at large. Preventing further abuse only serves to help society—by reducing the costs of healthcare for victims, allowing more healthy people into the workforce, and increasing the ability of children today to grow into healthy adults.

SOL reform also educates the public about the danger of child sexual abuse and how to prevent it. When predators and institutions are exposed, particularly high-profile ones like Larry Nassar, Jeffrey Epstein, the Boy Scouts of America, and the Catholic Church, the press and media industry publish investigations and documentaries that enlighten the public about the insidious ways child molesters operate to sexually assault children and the institutional failures that enabled their abuse (i.e. Netflix's Jeffrey Epstein: Filthy Rich and HBO's At the Heart of Gold: Inside the USA Gymnastics Scandal). By shedding light on the problem, parents and others are better able to identify abusers and responsible institutions and prevent further abuse. This knowledge helps to educate children to be aware of the signs of grooming and abusive behavior and create more social awareness to help keep kids safe, while also encouraging institutions to implement accountability and safe practices.

The costs of sex abuse are staggering. Child sex abuse generates many costs that impact the nation's health care, education, criminal justice, and welfare systems, costing nearly \$2 trillion annually. Numerous, scholarly studies have concluded that the average cost of child maltreatment is approximately \$830,928.00 per victim. It is unfair for the victims and Nevada taxpayers to be the only ones who bear this burden; SB 203 levels the playing field by imposing liability on the ones who caused the abuse and alleviating the burdens on the victims and taxpayers. Further, if this revival window is passed, **Nevada will gain millions of dollars in revenue from Medicaid reimbursements** from settlement funds and damages awards survivors recover.

III. Nevada Should Join the National Trend Toward SOL Reform for Child Sex Abuse by Eliminating its Civil SOL and Reviving Expired Claims

There is a vibrant national and global movement for SOL reform. The trend is toward elimination of civil and criminal SOLs and the revival of expired civil claims. For an analysis of the SOL reform movement since 2002, see CHILD USA's Report: History of U.S. SOL Reform: 2002-2020. 2019 was a banner year for changing the statutes of limitations for child sex abuse to help survivors access justice and prevent future abuse. With the public more awake than they've ever been to the injustice survivors faced by being shut out of courts, there was a surge of SOL reform, with 23 states and Washington D.C changing their SOLs for the better in 2019. The powerful SOL reform wave rode its way into 2020, with 30 states introducing legislation, but the outbreak of Covid-19 slowed its momentum. Despite significant disruptions by Covid-19 in 2020, 8 states passed new and improved SOL laws for child sex abuse. 2019 in 2020, 8 states abuse. 2019 in 2020 in 2020

By April of 2021, 31 states, including Nevada, have already introduced SOL reform bills for child sex abuse. XII Three states already have new SOL laws going into effect, including a revival law in Kentucky and civil SOL elimination laws in Arizona and Colorado. This year, Nevada is one of 16 states seeking to eliminate their civil SOLs and one of 20 states that are trying to revive civil claims for past abuse. XIII

There has been incredible progress across the nation and it's time for Nevada to join the movement. In the United States, since 2002, 40 jurisdictions extended or eliminated their civil SOLs for child sex abuse and 21 jurisdictions revived expired claims. Nevada has made some recent changes, yet there is still more that needs to be done. Nevada has been climbing slowly and this bill is an opportunity for the state to reach the top—for the children of yesterday, today, and tomorrow.




The following graphic demonstrates how Nevada ranks amongst other states regarding its current civil SOLs for child sex abuse. CHILD USA's average ranking of each state's civil SOL takes into account each state's age cap, discovery rule, and revival law. On a scale of 0-5, overall, Nevada ranks as a 3, making it above average amongst the states, with room for improvement.



7

The graphic below depicts CHILD USA's average ranking of each state's current criminal and civil SOLs (including age caps, discovery rules, and revival laws). Nevada currently ranks as average amongst the states for criminal and civil justice for child sex abuse victims. Its criminal rankings are the worst because Nevada is still 1 of only 8 states that has not eliminated the SOL for any felony child sex abuse crimes.



a. Nevada Should Eliminate Its Civil SOL for Child Sex Abuse

In Nevada, the general civil SOL for child sex abuse claims is capped at when a victim reaches age 38 (age of majority, 18, plus 20 years). Before the 2017 amendment, the civil SOL was even shorter, expiring at age 28. There is case law in Nevada supporting SOL elimination only for claims against perpetrators if there is clear and convincing evidence, but it is not well developed. This means that for most claims the civil SOL in Nevada expires more than a decade before the average victim will tell anyone they were abused. Nevada's short SOL has kept a broad class of victims from coming to court, while protecting the institutions that sheltered abusers and covered up the abuse.

This proposed civil SOL elimination is more in line with the recent trend to eliminate civil SOLs and give older victims more time to come forward in accordance with the delayed disclosure of abuse science. SB 203 eliminates future victims' barrier to bring claims against those responsible for the child sexual abuse they endured.

The following graphic demonstrates how Nevada ranks amongst other states regarding its current age cap for civil child sex abuse claims. Overall, 14 states and Guam have eliminated some civil SOLs for child sex abuse and they are ranked below as the best.^{xv} Another 5 states have extended

8

civil SOLs past 50 years of age. xvi Nevada, ranks as one of the best because of its limited civil elimination for some claims against perpetrators. However, its age 38 SOL for the remaining claims against perpetrators and other defendants is still too short. In 2021, Nevada stands admirably alongside 15 other states seeking to completely eliminate their civil SOLs. xvii



b. Nevada Should Revive Time-Barred Claims of Child Sex Abuse

Revival laws recognize that society for too long did not understand the plight of those sexually abused as children and unfairly extinguished their rights long before they had the ability to report or seek justice for their abuse. Revival laws are the only way to restore justice to adult victims of child sex abuse and give them the opportunity to file civil lawsuits if they so choose. These laws are not solely about justice for victims; there are also important public safety reasons for allowing older claims of abuse to proceed. When victims are empowered to disclose their abuse and sue for their injuries, the public benefits from finding out who the perpetrators are, the cost of abuse is shifted to those who created it, and it learns how to prevent child sex abuse.

There are already 19 states, Washington D.C. and Guam that have enacted revival laws for child sex abuse claims, including 16 jurisdictions with revival windows. These revival laws have been instrumental in giving thousands of victims across America a long overdue opportunity for justice and educating the public about hidden predators and responsible institutions in their communities.








WINDOWS TO JUSTICE FOR CHILD SEX ABUSE VICTIMS

A **window** is a law that revives expired civil claims and allows adult survivors to sue for child sex abuse while the window is open.



14 states, Guam, and D.C. have adopted windows for child sex abuse.

Guam, D.C., CA, KY, NC, NJ, NY, and VT currently have open windows. The window in D.C. closes on May 2, 2021.

19 states are currently considering bills with windows

For more information, visit www.childusa.org.

The most effective way to remedy the wrong of having unreasonably short SOLs is to completely revive all expired claims with a permanently open revival "window" like SB 203 proposes. This is exactly what Guam did in 2016 and Vermont did the same in 2019. Now any person that was sexually abused as a child in Vermont or Guam may sue their abuser or any responsible person or institution when they are ready. In effect, the law was shifted to accommodate the inherent barriers to disclosure of abuse.

States that have revived expired civil SOLs have learned about hidden child predators and that harbored them while institutions empowering victims. These revival laws do not yield a high number of cases, xix but provide long-overdue justice to older victims of child sex abuse. They also address the systemic issue of institutional child sex abuse, which occurs with alarming frequency in athletic institutions, youth-serving organizations, religious groups, etc. Without institutional accountability for enabling child sex abuse to happen and for looking the other way or covering up abuse when it's reported, the children these institutions serve remain at risk today. This bill will incentivize youth serving organizations to implement prevention policies and take action immediately to report abuse in real time. A revival window sends a strong message to youth serving organizations in Nevada that the state will not tolerate "passing the trash" or looking the other way when a person is raping or molesting a child in their midst.

The following graphic is a revival window report card, grading each state's window based on how helpful it is to survivors and to society by exposing hidden predators within the states. Vermont and Guam's permanent revival windows rank the highest, and this legislation would place Nevada alongside these leaders.

Window Report Card		
A window is a law that revives expired civil claims and allows adult survivors to sue for child sex abuse they endured years ago while the window is open		
A+	Vermont & Guam	Window permanently open for claims against <u>all</u> types of defendants
Α	California, Delaware, Hawaii New Jersey & New York	Window open for 2 or more years for claims against <u>all</u> types of defendants
В	Arizona, Minnesota & North Carolina	Window open for more than 1 year, but not explicitly applicable to claims against all types of defendants
B-	Montana	Window open for 1 year only
С	Kentucky & Washington D.C.	Window open only for claims by survivors under a certain age, with exceptions
C-	Georgia & Utah*	Window open for claims against perpetrators only
D	Michigan	Window open for claims of abuse by perpetrator doctors only (Larry Nassar)
F	Nevada & All Other States	No window, hidden predators are protected
www.chil	dusa.org CHILDUS. THE NATIONAL THINK TANK FOR CHILD	The Sean P. McIlmail S of Limitations Seaso Institute at CHILD U

Once again, we commend you for supporting this legislation, which is desperately needed to help survivors of childhood sexual abuse, and for taking up the cause of child sex abuse victims. Nevada's children deserve SOL reform to protect them today and into the future. Eliminating the time for survivors to file suit and reviving expired claims is a positive step for Nevada's children and families. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have questions regarding SOL reform or if we can be of assistance in any way on other child protection issues.

Sincerely,

Marci A. Hamilton, Esq. Professor, Fels Institute of Government University of Pennsylvania

Founder & CEO
CHILD USA
3508 Market Street, Suite 202
Philadelphia, PA 19104
mhamilton@childusa.org
Tel: (215) 539-1906

Kathryn Robb, Esq. Executive Director CHILD USAdvocacy 3508 Market St., Suite 201 Philadelphia, PA 19104 krobb@childusadvocacy.org (781) 856-7207

Kity Roll

i

¹ G. Moody, et. al., Establishing the international prevalence of self-reported child maltreatment: a systematic review by maltreatment type and gender, 18(1164) BMC PUBLIC HEALTH (2018) (finding a 20.4% prevalence rate of child sexual abuse among North American girls); M. Stoltenborgh, et. al., A Global Perspective on Child Sexual Abuse: Meta-Analysis of Prevalence Around the World, 16(2) CHILD MALTREATMENT 79 (2011) (finding a 20.1% prevalence rate of child sexual abuse among North American girls); N. Pereda, et. al., The prevalence of child sexual abuse in community and student samples: A meta-analysis, 29 CLINICAL PSYCH. REV. 328, 334 (2009) (finding a 7.5% and 25.3% prevalence rate of child sexual abuse among North American boys and girls respectively).

ii van der Kolk, B. The Body Keeps the Score: Memory & the Evolving Psychobiology of Posttraumatic Stress. Harvard Review of Psychiatry (1994) 1(5), 253-65; Jim Hopper, *Why Can't Christine Blasey Ford Remember How She Got Home?*, Scientific Amer. (Oct. 5, 2018), available at <a href="https://blogs.scientificamerican.com/observations/why-cant-christine-blasey-ford-remember-how-she-got-home/;see also Hoskell, L. & Randall, M., *The Impact of Trauma on Adult Sexual Assault Victims*, Justice Canada 30 (2019), available at https://www.justice.gc.ca/eng/rp-pr/jr/trauma/trauma_eng.pdf (hereinafter "Hoskell").

iii Jacobs-Kayam.A. and Lev-Weisel, R., *In Limbo: Time Perspective and Memory Deficit Among Female Survivors of Sexual Abuse*, Frontiers in Psychol. (April 24, 2019) available at https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00912/full.

iv CHILD USA, Delayed Disclosure: A Factsheet Based on Cutting-Edge Research on Child Sex Abuse, CHILDUSA.ORG, 3 (Mar. 2020) available at https://childusa.org/wpcontent/uploads/2020/04/Delayed-Disclosure-Factsheet-2020.pdf. (citing N. Spröber et. al., Child sexual abuse in religiously affiliated and secular institutions, 14 BMC Pub. Health 282, 282 (2014).

v Id.

vi Hoskell, at 24.

vii See supra n. iv.

viii M. Merricka, et. al, *Unpacking the Impact of Adverse Childhood Experiences on Adult Mental Health*, CHILD ABUSE NEGL. (2017).

ix CHILD USA, *History of US SOL Reform: 2002-2020*, CHILDUSA.ORG (last visited Apr. 27, 2021), available at www.childusa.org/sol-report-2020.

^x For more information on SOL reform in 2019, visit 2019 SOL Tracker, CHILDUSA.ORG (last visited Apr. 27, 2021), available at www.childusa.org/2019sol.

xi See 2020 SOL Tracker, CHILDUSA.ORG (last visited Apr. 27, 2021), available at www.childusa.org/2020sol.

xii See 2021 SOL Tracker, CHILDUSA.ORG (last visited Apr. 27, 2021), available at www.childusa.org/2021sol.

xiv Petersen v. Bruen, 106 Nev. 271, 281–82, 792 P.2d 18, 24–25 (1990).

xv AK, AZ, CO, CT, DE, FL, IL, ME, MN, NE, NV, NH, UT, and VT. For information on civil SOL elimination, see 2021 SOL Tracker, CHILDUSA.ORG (last visited Apr. 27, 2021), available at www.childusa.org/2021sol. xvi MA, NJ, NY, PA, & RI.

xvii See 2021 SOL Tracker, CHILDUSA.ORG (last visited Apr. 27, 2021), available at www.childusa.org/2021sol.

xviii For a comprehensive overview of SOL revival laws, see *Revival and Window Laws Since 2002*, CHILDUSA.ORG (last visited Apr. 27, 2021), available at www.childusa.org/law.

xix See The Relative Success of Civil SOL Window and Revival Statutes State-by-State, CHILDUSA.ORG (last visited Jan. 30, 2021), available at www.childusa.org/law.